



Habib, G., Bucciarelli-Ducci, C., Caforio, A. L. P., Cardim, N., Charron, P., Cosyns, B., Dehaene, A., Derumeaux, G., Donal, E., Dweck, M. R., Edvardsen, T., Erba, P. A., Ernande, L., Gaemperli, O., Galderisi, M., Grapsa, J., Jacquier, A., Klingel, K., Lancellotti, P. (2017). Multimodality Imaging in Restrictive Cardiomyopathies: An EACVI expert consensus document In collaboration with the "Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases" of the European Society of Cardiology Endorsed by The Indian Academy of Echocardiography. *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging*, 18(10), 1090-1121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex034>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1093/ehjci/jex034](https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex034)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via OUP at <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex034> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1
2
3
4 **Multimodality Imaging**
5 **in Restrictive Cardiomyopathies**

6
7 **An EACVI expert consensus document**
8

9
10
11
12
13 **In collaboration with**
14 **the “Working Group on myocardial and pericardial diseases”**
15 **of the European Society of Cardiology.**
16

17 **Endorsed by**
18 **The Indian Academy of Echocardiography**
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Authors list

- 27
- 28 Gilbert HABIB 1 Aix- Marseille Univ, URMITE, Aix Marseille Université- UM63, CNRS 7278, IRD 198,
29 INSERM 1095
- 30 2 APHM, La Timone Hospital, Cardiology Department, Marseille France
- 31 Chiara BUCCIARELLI-DUCCI Bristol Heart Institute Bristol NIHR Biomedical Research Unit (BRU) University
32 of Bristol
- 33 Alida L. P. CAFORIO, MD, PhD, FESC, Cardiology, Department of Cardiological Thoracic and Vascular
34 Sciences, University of Padova, Italy
- 35 Nuno CARDIM Multimodality cardiac imaging department, Sports Cardiology and cardiomyopathies centre-
36 Hospital da Luz; Lisbon, Portugal
- 37 Philippe CHARRON 1 - Faculty hospital Ambroise Paré, 9 av Charles de Gaulle, 92104 Boulogne Billancourt -
38 2 - Faculty hospital Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47 bvd de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France
- 39
- 40 Bernard COSYNS MD, PhD. CHVZ (Centrum voor Hart en Vaatziekten - UZ Brussel
- 41 Aurélie DEHAENE APHM, Hôpitaux de la Timone, Pôle d'imagerie Médicale, Department of Radiology and
42 Cardiovascular Imaging, 13005 Marseille, France
- 43 Genevieve DERUMEAUX INSERM U955, Université Paris-Est Creteil, Henri Mondor Hospital, DHU-ATVB,
44 Department of Physiology, AP-HP, Créteil, France
- 45 Erwan DONAL Cardiologie – CHU Rennes & CIC-IT 1414 & LTSI INSERM 1099 – Université Rennes-1
- 46 Marc R Dweck: Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh
- 47 Thor EDVARSEN Department of Cardiology, Center for Cardiological innovation and Institute for 8 Surgical
48 Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo Norway and University of 9 Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 49 Paola Anna Erba MD, PhD. Department of Translational Research and New Technology in Medicine. University
50 of Pisa. Pisa. Italy.
- 51 Laura ERNANDE INSERM U955, Université Paris-Est Creteil, Henri Mondor Hospital, DHU-ATVB, Department
52 of Physiology, AP-HP, Créteil, France
- 53 Oliver GAEMPERLI University Heart Center Zurich, Interventional Cardiology and Cardiac Imaging 19 Zurich
- 54 Maurizio GALDERISI Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University, Naples Italy
- 55 Julia GRAPSA Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Imperial College of London, London, United Kingdom
- 56 Alexis JACQUIER APHM, Hôpitaux de la Timone, Pôle d'imagerie Médicale, Department of Radiology and
57 Cardiovascular Imaging, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, CRMBM UMR 7339, 13385 Marseille, France
- 58 Karin KLINGEL Karin Klingel Department of Molecular Pathology, Institute for Pathology and Neuropathology,
59 University Hospital Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany
- 60 Patrizio LANCELLOTTI 1. University of Liège Hospital, GIGA Cardiovascular Sciences, Departments of
61 Cardiology, Heart Valve Clinic, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège, Belgium
- 62 2. Gruppo Villa Maria Care and Research, Anthea Hospital, Bari, Italy
- 63 Danilo NEGLIA Cardiovascular Department at Fondazione Toscana G. Monasterio, CNR Institute 32 of
64 Clinical Physiology and Scuola Superiore San"Anna, Pisa, Italy.
- 65
- 66 Alessia PEPE MD, PhD, Magnetic Resonance Imaging Unit. Fondazione G. Monasterio C.N.R.- Regione Toscana
67 Pisa, Italy
- 68 Pasquale PERRONE-FILARDI Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Federico II University, Naples Italy

69 Steffen E. PETERSEN Department of Advanced Cardiovascular Imaging, William Harvey Research Institute,
70 National Institute for Health Research Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit at Barts, London, United
71 Kingdom

72 Sven PLEIN, MD, PhD, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Research Centre & Division of Biomedical Imaging,
73 Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine LIGHT Laboratories, University of Leeds, United
74 Kingdom

75 Bogdan A. Popescu University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Carol Davila" - Euroecolab, Institute of
76 Cardiovascular Diseases, Bucharest, Romania

77 Patricia REANT, MD, PhD CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

78 L. Elif SADE MD. Baskent University Ankara TURKEY

79 Erwan SALAUN La Timone Hospital, Cardiology Department, Marseille France

80 Riemer H.J.A. SLART 1Department of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, University of Groningen,
81 University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen, The Netherlands

82 2 Department of Biomedical Photonic Imaging, University of Twente, PO Box 217,
83 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

84 Christophe TRIBOUILLOY Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Amiens, Amiens, France and
85 INSERM U-1088, Jules Verne University of Picardie, Amiens, France

86 Jose ZAMORANO University Hospital Ramon y Cajal Carretera de Colmenar Km 9,100 28034 Madrid. Spain

87

88

89

90

91 **Abstract**

92 Restrictive cardiomyopathies are a diverse group of myocardial diseases with a wide range
93 of aetiologies, including familial, genetic and acquired diseases and ranging from very rare
94 to relatively frequent cardiac disorders. In all these diseases, imaging techniques play a
95 central role. Advanced imaging techniques provide important novel data on the diagnostic
96 and prognostic assessment of restrictive cardiomyopathies. This EACVI consensus
97 document provides comprehensive information for the appropriateness of all non-invasive
98 imaging techniques for the diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and management of patients
99 with RCM.

100

101 **Key words:** echocardiography; cardiac magnetic resonance; computed tomography;
102 nuclear imaging; cardiomyopathies; restrictive cardiomyopathies

103

104

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

2. Definition and classification of RCM

3. Pathophysiology of RCM and clinical presentation

4. Imaging modalities in RCM:

1 - Echocardiography

2 - Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR)

3 - Cardiac computed tomography (CT)

4 - Nuclear imaging

5. Main forms of RCM and value of imaging techniques:

1 - Apparently idiopathic RCM

2 - Cardiac amyloidosis

3 - Other causes of familial/genetic RCM

Hemochromatosis

Fabry cardiomyopathy

Glycogen storage disease

Pseudoxanthoma elasticum

4 - Non familial/non-genetic RCM: Inflammatory cardiomyopathies with a restrictive hemodynamic component:

Cardiac Sarcoidosis

Systemic sclerosis

5 - Non familial/non genetic RCM: Radiation therapy and cancer drug therapy induced RCM:

Cardiac toxicity of radiation therapy

Cancer drug induced RCM

6 - Endomyocardial RCMs

Endomyocardial fibrosis

Hypereosinophilic syndrome

Carcinoid heart disease

Drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis

6. Differential diagnosis between RCM and other cardiac diseases

Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis

Differential diagnosis or association between RCM and other myocardial diseases

7. Conclusion and future directions

1. Introduction

Restrictive cardiomyopathies (RCM) are a diverse group of myocardial diseases with a wide range of aetiologies, including familial, genetic and acquired diseases and ranging from very rare to relatively frequent cardiac disorders. This diversity is also reflected in the inconsistent classification of RCM across guidelines (1-3) and even in the term “restrictive”, which is a functional characterization, unlike the morphological definition of the three other main types of cardiomyopathies, i.e. hypertrophic, arrhythmogenic right ventricular or dilated cardiomyopathies (4).

Independently of the underlying cause, the pathophysiology and clinical presentation, the initial phenotypic diagnosis of RCM requires imaging techniques. Many advances have occurred in the last decade in the diagnostic and prognostic assessment of RCM. This EACVI consensus document provides comprehensive information for the appropriateness of all non-invasive imaging techniques for the diagnosis, prognostic evaluation, and management of patients with RCM.

This article was written in close collaboration between the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) and the Working Group (WG) on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). The types of RCM covered in this document are those included in the classification system proposed by the WG on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases (1) as well as some non-sarcomeric hypertrophic cardiomyopathies with a restrictive physiology that in previous classifications were included in the RCM category, e.g. cardiac amyloidosis.

2. Definition and classification of RCM

RCM is the least common type of the cardiomyopathies, defined as *myocardial disorders in which the heart muscle is structurally and functionally abnormal in the absence of coronary artery disease, arterial systemic hypertension, valvular disease or congenital heart disease sufficient to cause the observed myocardial abnormality* (1).

According to the historical World Health Organization (WHO) (2) and the updated definition proposed by the ESC WG on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases in 2008 (1), each cardiomyopathy type is described by its clinical presentation. This approach is recommended firstly because it is the starting point in everyday clinical practice, and secondly because knowledge of aetiologies is still evolving, thus at present an aetiological classification would not be conclusive.

RCM is defined by restrictive ventricular physiology in the presence of normal or reduced diastolic volumes, with normal or near-normal left ventricular (LV) systolic function, and normal or near-normal wall thickness (1-5). Increased interstitial fibrosis may be present. RCM constitutes a heterogeneous group of heart muscle diseases with various causes (Table 1) that may be classified according to very different criteria.

According to the main pathophysiological mechanism, RCM may be subclassified into infiltrative or storage diseases (e.g. amyloidosis and glycogen storage disease); obliterative or endomyocardial diseases (e.g. endomyocardial fibrosis, related or not to hypereosinophilia).

The WHO classification system was based on the distinction between primary and secondary myocardial disorders (2). Primary cardiomyopathies were defined as either not caused by an identifiable agent, e.g. idiopathic, or related to a primary myocardial cause. Secondary diseases were related to systemic disorders affecting the myocardium with a pathophysiological process starting outside of, e.g. unspecific to the myocardium. The American Heart Association (AHA) proposed a slightly different classification system in which the term “primary” was used to describe diseases in which the heart is the sole or predominantly involved organ whereas “secondary” is used to describe diseases in which myocardial dysfunction is part of a systemic disorder (3).

However, the challenge of distinguishing primary and secondary disorders is illustrated by the fact that many diseases classified as primary cardiomyopathies (e.g. glycogen storage disease, mitochondrial cytopathies) in the AHA classification can be associated with major extra-cardiac manifestations. Conversely, pathology in many of the diseases classified as secondary cardiomyopathies can predominantly (or exclusively) involve the heart (e.g. endomyocardial fibrosis or Fabry disease cardiac variant). In addition, the term of primary cardiomyopathy as an idiopathic condition is no longer appropriate in a large group of patients since genetics has identified mutations in various genes such as sarcomeric causes. Therefore, the ESC WG on Myocardial & Pericardial Diseases proposed in 2008 to abandon the distinction between primary and secondary causes (1).

As an alternative to this classification, the ESC Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases proposed to subclassify RCM and other cardiomyopathies into (i) familial or genetic causes and (ii) non-familial/non-genetic causes, because of the recent and increasing knowledge about genetic causes of cardiomyopathies. This is especially illustrated in RCM related to cardiac amyloidosis that may be acquired (amyloidosis AL or senile amyloidosis) or genetically determined (transthyretin and other genes mutations) and

be included in the nonsarcomeric hypertrophic cardiomyopathies as well as in the RCM (1).
The latter ESC classification will be used in this position paper.

3. Pathophysiology of RCM and clinical presentation

Restrictive physiology is characterized by a pattern of LV filling in which increased stiffness of the myocardium causes a precipitous rise of LV pressure with only small increases in volume. On cardiac catheterization, this phenomenon is characterized by a dip-and-plateau contour of early diastolic pressure traces. The standard echocardiographic features of 'restrictive' filling are described in chapter 4.1

Similarly, Some patients with a restrictive physiology may have significantly increased wall thickness such as patients with cardiac amyloidosis. RCM should be differentiated from constrictive pericarditis (6, 7). (see chapter 5).

4. Imaging modalities in RCM:

1 - Echocardiography

Echocardiography plays a key role for the recognition of RCM. The echocardiographic diagnosis requires to differentiate RCM from constrictive pericarditis.

RCM are usually characterized by normal or small LV cavity size ($< 40\text{ mL/m}^2$) with preserved LV ejection fraction, bi-atrial enlargement, and diastolic dysfunction (5).

Assessment of LV diastolic function and filling pressures is of utmost value in RCM. In the recent joint American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) / EACVI recommendations for the evaluation of diastolic function by echocardiography (8), the four recommended variables to diagnose LV diastolic dysfunction and their abnormal cut-off values are annular e' velocity (septal e' $< 7\text{ cm/s}$, lateral e' $< 10\text{ cm/s}$), average E/e' ratio > 14 , LA maximum volume index $> 34\text{ mL/m}^2$, and peak TR velocity $> 2.8\text{ m/s}$ (figure 1). Other valuable parameters to identify the presence of elevated LV filling pressures are the ratio of pulmonary vein peak systolic to peak diastolic velocity, or systolic time velocity integral to diastolic time velocity integral < 1 , and the changes in E/A ratio with Valsalva manoeuvre. The restrictive filling is considered reversible if the change of E/A ratio during Valsalva is ≥ 0.5 and fixed if it is < 0.5 (more severe form).

The diagnosis of RCM does not equal the presence of restrictive physiology. Patients with true RCM may present with a grade I diastolic dysfunction and move progressively to grade

242 II or III diastolic dysfunction, with worsening of their disease. The advanced stages of RCM
243 are characterized by typical restrictive physiology with a mitral inflow E/A ratio > 2.5, DT
244 of E velocity <150 ms, IVRT < 50 ms, decreased septal and lateral e' velocities (3-4 cm/s),
245 E/e' ratio > 14, as well as a markedly increased LA volume index (> 50 ml/m2)(8), this
246 advanced restrictive pattern being associated with the worst prognosis (9). Wall thickness
247 is usually normal.

248 Some specific features may also help differentiate secondary RCM, including several
249 systemic conditions (diabetic cardiomyopathy, scleroderma, endomyocardial fibrosis,
250 radiation, chemotherapy, carcinoid heart disease, metastatic cancers), from apparently
251 idiopathic RCM (see chapter 5). Ultrasonic tissue characterisation with integrated
252 backscatter has been used to assess myocardial texture, but is non-specific (10, 11). Finally,
253 2D deformation imaging is useful for the assessment of LV longitudinal dysfunction, which
254 is frequently impaired in most forms of RCM (12) (see chapter 5), and may help
255 differentiating RCM from constrictive pericarditis (13)

256

257 **2 - Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR)**

258 CMR imaging can contribute importantly to the diagnosis of RCM and the differential
259 diagnosis from pericardial constriction [14]. The CMR methods most commonly used for the
260 assessment of RCM include static (black blood) images, cine and contrast enhanced imaging
261 as well as parametric mapping.

262 Static images are used to delineate cardiac, pericardial and vascular morphology. T1 and
263 T2 weighted black blood images are sensitive to different tissue characteristics and provide
264 complementary information. T1 weighted images show high signal from fat, as may for
265 example be seen in Fabry's disease, while T2 weighted short tau inversion recovery (STIR)
266 images show high signal in myocardial oedema, for example in acute sarcoidosis.

267 CMR allows accurate volumetric assessment of the heart and can accurately measure
268 chamber size and function [15]. Typical cine CMR images are averaged over several heart
269 beats to maximize image quality and temporal resolution, but real-time imaging can also be
270 performed to demonstrate the typical septal shift during respiratory maneuvers and identify
271 restrictive physiology [16]. Velocity encoded CMR in standardized imaging planes
272 perpendicular to the atrio-ventricular heart valves is used to demonstrate the typical
273 restrictive filling patterns of accentuated early filling and absent or reduced late filling [17].

Commented [v1]: Try to avoid grades of LV diastolic dysfunction since they have not been introduced earlier

Commented [GH2R1]: Ok deleted

Commented [v3]: This sentence cannot come now because you have not indicated the differences between RCM and constrictive pericarditis

Commented [GH4R3]: Ok deleted

Commented [v5]: This I agree that is an important sentence. But this is not specific for RCM. It is for every single cardiac disease. The word count should be kept <10,000 words. Please try to keep the sentences that are relevant for this specific topic.

Commented [GH6R5]: Ok deleted

274 A unique feature of CMR of relevance to the imaging of RCM is tissue characterization with
275 late gadolinium enhancement (LGE). Following intravenous administration gadolinium
276 based contrast agents are retained preferentially in tissues with an expanded extracellular
277 space, such as fibrosis, scar or infiltration. Characteristic patterns of contrast enhancement
278 can be observed in several of the RCMs, contributing to the differential diagnosis of Fabry
279 disease, amyloidosis, endomyocardial fibrosis and sarcoidosis (Figure 2). In many of these
280 conditions, the presence of LGE also has important prognostic relevance [18-20]. Finally,
281 parametric mapping methods have increasing applications in RCM and allow quantitative
282 measurement of tissue characteristics. T2*-weighted CMR is now the method of choice to
283 detect and quantify myocardial iron content in iron deposition cardiomyopathy and to guide
284 appropriate therapy [21]. A low myocardial T2* value in this context is currently considered
285 the most powerful marker of adverse outcome [22]. More recently, T1 mapping has been
286 used to quantify the extent of myocardial inflammation and fibrosis. Native T1 relaxation
287 times, as measured with T1 mapping without the need for contrast agent administration,
288 are altered in several conditions including amyloidosis and may have incremental value over
289 LGE imaging [23]. The combination of native and post contrast T1 mapping allows an
290 estimation of the myocardial extracellular volume (ECV) fraction, which in amyloidosis can
291 even show differences in subtypes of the disease [24]. T1 mapping may also be useful in
292 iron overload instead of the more established T2* mapping [25].

Commented [v7]: This is good for a book chapter. But this is repetitive of what is said before. Please consider deleting it.

Commented [GH8R7]: Ok deleted

294 **3 - Cardiac computed tomography (CT)**

295 The key advantage of computed tomography (CT) is its high-spatial resolution and the
296 anatomical detail it provides. However the associated radiation exposure largely limits this
297 modality to static imaging, precluding dynamic analyses of left ventricular haemodynamics,
298 filling or relaxation. Nevertheless CT is well suited to identifying the anatomic features of
299 impaired cardiac filling that characterize RCM. These include dilatation of the atria,
300 coronary sinus and inferior vena cava and the presence of pulmonary congestion and pleural
301 effusions. These features are also observed in a range of other conditions and the
302 predominant role of CT with respect to RCM is in the exclusion of these alternative
303 diagnoses. In particular, CT is well suited to detecting the thickening and calcification of
304 the pericardium most commonly associated with constrictive pericarditis (26). Similarly CT
305 allows assessment of extra-cardiac involvement in systemic conditions such as sarcoidosis
306 (e.g. pulmonary nodules, pulmonary fibrosis and lymphadenopathy) or amyloidosis (e.g.
307 inhomogeneous hepatomegaly, diffuse lung parenchymal involvement, small kidneys)
308 further aiding in the differential diagnosis.

When other imaging modalities are not available, CT may be useful in evaluation of patients with RCM, owing to its ability to measure LV wall thickness and mass, detect regional wall thickening (27), regions of replacement fibrosis (27, 28), and measure myocardial extracellular volume fraction by equilibrium contrast-enhanced CT to assess diffuse fibrosis (29). These advances may increase the clinical utility of CT in the future clinical assessment of patients with RCM, particularly when echocardiography and CMR are non-diagnostic or contraindicated.

4 – Nuclear imaging

Nuclear imaging modalities have a potential clinical role in two forms of RCM: amyloidosis and sarcoidosis (see chapters 5.2 and 5.4). Nuclear imaging modalities have the advantage of specific targeted molecular imaging. Positron emission tomography (PET) has the technical advantages of high spatial resolution, robust built-in attenuation correction, quantitative analysis, and low patient radiation exposure, whereas single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) has the advantage of a robust, cheaper and well validated camera system

There are increasing data on the role of nuclear tracers with SPECT and more recently with PET for early identification and differential diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis, particularly transthyretin-related amyloidosis (ATTR)

Radiolabelled SPECT phosphate derivatives, initially developed as bone-seeking tracers, were noted to localize to amyloid deposits using [99mTc]-diphosphanate (30). In clinical practice, the most used SPECT tracers are: 99mTc-DPD mainly in Europe and Asia and 99mTc-PYP in the United States. Their main advantage is avid uptake by ATTR and minimal uptake with the light-chain (AL) amyloidosis subtype, providing one of the best non-invasive ways to differentiate these subtypes of cardiac amyloidosis. (31, 32)

The imaging technique is simple. Briefly, after administering 740 MBq of 99mTc-DPD, or or [99mTc]-HDP (32, 33), or of 99mTc-PYP (34) intravenously, a whole-body scan is performed 3 hours or 1 h later (anterior and posterior projections). If there is active uptake in the heart, chest SPECT is performed. The analysis is performed by semi-quantitative visual scoring of the cardiac as compared to the bone uptake (scores from 0 to 3) and by computing the ratio, after correction for background counts, of the mean counts in the heart region over the mean counts in the contralateral chest (H/CL ratio).

Commented [v9]: abbreviation

Commented [GH10R9]: ok abbreviation above

341 Other nuclear imaging approaches have been recently proposed for the diagnosis and
342 prognostic stratification of patients with suspected amyloidosis. (31) PET imaging using new
343 amyloid tracers like the [11C]-labeled Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB) or [18F]-florbetapir is
344 promising and under early clinical investigation. The use of neuronal imaging by [123-I]-
345 MIBG SPECT has been suggested for early recognition of cardiac involvement and prognostic
346 stratification of individuals with TTR mutation (34)

347 The inflammatory nature of cardiac sarcoidosis renders PET useful for its diagnosis, as
348 [¹⁸F]FDG accumulates in inflammatory cells in the heart. FDG is preferred in combination
349 with a perfusion tracer to improve specificity, due to better match/mismatch pattern
350 recognition. Unlike in CMR, there is no distinct pattern of FDG uptake that is
351 pathognomonic for cardiac sarcoidosis, though focal or focal on diffuse uptake is suggestive
352 of the disorder.(35) At present, [¹⁸F]FDG-PET appears to be more sensitive but less specific
353 than CMR (36) and its use seems most appropriate in patients who have contraindications
354 to CMR, inconclusive findings on CMR or where CMR is not available also to monitor
355 response to therapy. The development of FDG PET/MR techniques offers the ability to
356 assess LV wall function, the pattern of myocardial injury and disease activity in a single
357 scan (37) (figure 3)

358
359 *In summary, several imaging techniques are available in the evaluation of RCM, all of which*
360 *have both advantages and limitations. Table 2 summarizes the value of different imaging*
361 *modalities in various forms of RCM. Although non-invasive techniques are sufficient in most*
362 *cases, final histologic diagnosis may sometimes be necessary, and may be obtained by*
363 *biopsies specimens from the heart (endomyocardial biopsies [EMB]) or other organs. Figure 4*
364 *illustrates by histology and immunohistology different disease entities of RCM which will be*
365 *discussed in the following chapters.*

Commented [v11]: this is not really addressed in these sections...it goes a bit against the value of the imaging techniques. Delete it ?

Commented [GH12R11]: I agree. However, histologic description was requested by the majority and difficult to withdraw this part. It was really a wish from the Cardiomyopathy WG to include this sentence, which has already been shortened previously. I tried to introduce some nuances in the role of histology, which may be sometimes useful, and widely used in some countries

5. Main forms of RCM and value of imaging techniques:

1 – Apparently idiopathic RCM

Apparently idiopathic RCM may be caused by mutations in sarcomeric disease genes and may even coexist with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the same family (38-40) and may require EMB (to exclude cardiac amyloidosis), family screening and genetic investigations. Most affected individuals have severe signs and symptoms of heart failure. Several studies have reported that 66–100% die or receive a cardiac transplant within a few years of diagnosis.

The echocardiographic diagnosis is one of restrictive physiology and mostly preserved LV ejection fraction. Typically, idiopathic RCM is characterised by diastolic dysfunction with apparently preserved systolic function, dilated atria, and the absence of ventricular hypertrophy or dilatation (figure 5 and videos 1 and 2). Longitudinal function may be decreased; the right ventricle may be involved but there is no “pathognomonic” echocardiographic pattern of apparently idiopathic RCM. CMR with LGE may facilitate the diagnosis of infiltrative myocardial disease, and is thus particularly useful for ruling out a particular cause of RCM (41).

2 – Cardiac amyloidosis

Cardiac amyloidosis (CA) is one of the most frequent causes of RCM and may be genetic/familial (ATTR) or non-genetic non-familial (AL/ prealbumin, senile).

The diagnosis requires awareness, expertise and a high level of clinical suspicion, with integration between clinical, electrocardiographic and echocardiographic data. The “mismatch” between the presence of LV hypertrophy (LVH) in echocardiography and its absence on the ECG (no LVH, absolute or relative low-voltage QRS) is suggestive of cardiac amyloidosis and is often the first disease “red flag” (42, 43). Typical echocardiographic findings in cardiac amyloidosis patients include (figure 6a) a non-dilated LV with moderate concentric LVH and a ‘granular sparkling’ appearance of the myocardial texture, valvular thickening (mainly the A-V valves), biatrial dilatation, right ventricular free wall hypertrophy, inter atrial septum infiltration (loss of physiological echo drop-out) and mild pericardial effusion (44). In the early stages of the disease, cardiac amyloidosis may present as asymmetrical septal hypertrophy, sometimes with LV outflow tract obstruction and can then be wrongly diagnosed as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). The presence of intra-

Commented [v13]: this sentence does not provide much since the review is on imaging...

Commented [GH14R13]: ok

Commented [v15]: echocardiography is the first line for all RCM

Commented [GH16R15]: true

atrial thrombus also seems to be relatively frequent in patients with cardiac amyloidosis, even in sinus rhythm (45).

Commented [v17]: Lv diastolic function is abnormal in all RCM by definition ?

Patients often show (figure 6b) advanced diastolic dysfunction (grade II or III) and increased LV filling pressures. The classical transmitral restrictive pattern may only be seen at advanced disease stages. The typical tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) pattern of cardiac amyloidosis, with low systolic (s') and diastolic (e', a') myocardial velocities. Of note, E/e' ratio is usually abnormally increased even in the presence of LV abnormal relaxation pattern (diastolic dysfunction grade I) (46).

Commented [GH18R17]: yes

LV systolic dysfunction is also a common finding in this disease. In early stages, despite preserved LV ejection fraction, longitudinal function is abnormal (abnormal long axis systolic velocities (s') and strain) (figure 7a) as well as myocardial contraction fraction, a recently described systolic parameter (47).

2D speckle-tracing echocardiography (2D-STE) is important, as many systolic strain parameters (longitudinal, circumferential, radial) are abnormal in cardiac amyloidosis, particularly in the longitudinal axis, typically with prominent involvement of LV basal segments and apical sparing (48) (figure 7b), reflecting the predominant deposition of amyloid in basal segments. The combination of a prominent reduction of longitudinal strain in LV basal segments with increased E/e' ratio suggests cardiac amyloidosis in early stages (49).

Multiple echocardiographic parameters have been associated with adverse outcomes in cardiac amyloidosis, including M- mode and 2D data (maximal wall thickness, LV fractional shortening and LV ejection fraction, right ventricle dilatation), blood pool Doppler data (restrictive filling pattern, myocardial performance index, Tissue Doppler derived data (myocardial velocities, long axis velocity gradient, peak longitudinal systolic basal antero-septal strain > -7.5%) (50) and 2D-STE parameters (GLS, mid-septum systolic longitudinal strain, apical LS< -14.5%) (51, 52).

Commented [v19]: This sentence is repetition of the section on CMR. Please avoid repetition.

CMR is often used after CA is suspected by echocardiography to confirm or refute the diagnosis, and in experienced hands represents a powerful tool with important diagnostic and prognostic implications. Cine images may demonstrate typical anatomical features like thickened LV wall, biatrial enlargement, reduced long-axis shortening, and pleural or pericardial effusion. The presence of amyloid protein in the myocardial interstitium is associated with abnormal gadolinium-chelate contrast kinetics and characteristic patterns of contrast distribution. LGE images typically show circumferential subendocardial contrast enhancement or bilateral septal subendocardial LGE with dark mid-wall (zebra pattern)

Commented [GH20R19]: ok

437 (Figure 8a) (53, 54), but other patterns of enhancement have also been described. In atypical
438 cases, other differential diagnoses should be considered such as hypertrophic
439 cardiomyopathy or Fabry's disease. Cardiac involvement can extend to the right ventricle
440 and atrial walls, as potentially detected by LGE. The extent of myocardial LGE correlates
441 with New York Heart Association functional class, LV wall thickness, lower ECG voltage,
442 and cardiac biomarkers (troponins, brain natriuretic peptide)(55). With more advanced
443 disease, amyloid infiltration may be transmural with corresponding global enhancement on
444 LGE images, which is an independent predictor of poorer outcomes, over stroke volume and
445 pro-NT brain natriuretic peptide. (56)

446 Amyloid deposits increase the longitudinal relaxation time (T1) magnetic property of
447 the heart. Thus, myocardial non-contrast T1 values are longer in cardiac amyloidosis than
448 in controls, a finding with higher sensitivity for detecting early subclinical cardiac
449 involvement than LGE.(57) ECV estimation from pre- and post-contrast T1 mapping has
450 been used to quantify interstitial amyloid deposition which appears to be more extensive in
451 transthyretin amyloidosis (TTR) than in immunoglobulin light-chain amyloidosis (AL). (58)
452 The addition of parametric mapping to standard CMR images is promising to be a powerful
453 and quantitative diagnostic tool that also allows differential diagnosis from other diseases
454 with similar phenotypic expression.

455 Scintigraphy employs molecular-targeted radiolabeled compounds to detect systemic and
456 organ-specific amyloid deposits. Scintigraphy is a valuable alternative to CMR particularly
457 for patients with ATTR amyloidosis due to its very high sensitivity. Scintigraphy may also
458 be used following an inconclusive CMR study, or for phenotyping cardiac amyloidosis (ATTR
459 vs. AL) or in the differential diagnosis with sarcomeric HCM (59, 60).). The [99mTc]-labeled
460 bisphosphonate compounds pyrophosphate (PYP) (60) and 3,3-diphosphono-1,2-
461 propanodicarboxylic acid (DPD)(61) and hydroxydiphosphonate (HDP) (33) (which are
462 routinely used as bone scintigraphy agents) bind through unknown mechanisms to amyloid
463 protein. All have proven very sensitive for detecting cardiac involvement in ATTR amyloidosis
464 with reported sensitivities up to 100% on late phase planar scintigraphy. Typical uptake
465 patterns besides cardiac uptake in ATTR amyloidosis include increased soft tissue uptake
466 (mainly muscular uptake in the gluteal, shoulder, chest and abdominal wall regions) with
467 obscuring of bone uptake (Figure 8b). However, in AL amyloidosis, cardiac uptake is found
468 in less than half of patients and is generally less intense (likely due to the lower
469 concentration of calcium-containing products in AL amyloid). Additionally, AL patients have
470 generally no muscular [99mTc]-DPD or [99mTc]-HDP uptake while visceral uptake (liver,
471 spleen) may be more common.

472 Even if there are not yet large comparative studies, the diagnostic performance of
473 nuclear imaging for cardiac amyloidosis is established. In general, [99mTc]-DPD can
474 differentiate subtypes (62) and can be more sensitive than CMR (33) or echocardiography in
475 diagnosing early disease being an independent prognostic marker (63). In a recent study by
476 Bokhari et al. (60) using 99mTc-PYP, while patients with AL had some uptake, the visual
477 score was significantly less than in patients with ATTR, allowing the differentiation between
478 ATTR and AL amyloidosis with 97% sensitivity and 100% specificity.

479 Hence, whole body planar DPD and HDP scintigraphy may help to phenotype cardiac
480 amyloidosis particularly through differentiating ATTR from AL amyloidosis (or from
481 sarcomeric HCM, where no DPD uptake is seen), which often have overlapping imaging
482 features on echocardiography and CMR, but very distinct clinical course and prognosis.
483 Moreover, a recent comparison of [99mTc]-DPD scintigraphy and LGE showed that despite
484 a general good agreement between both techniques, LGE may sometimes underestimate
485 cardiac amyloid burden (33). Finally, myocardial tracer uptake on scintigraphy is correlated
486 with disease severity (measured by circulating troponin and LV wall mass), and has been
487 shown to be a powerful prognostic determinant of outcome in ATTR cardiac amyloidosis (32,
488 63).

489 Recent investigations found that bone scintigraphy enables the diagnosis of cardiac ATTR
490 amyloidosis to be made reliably without the need for histology in patients who do not have
491 a monoclonal gammopathy. (64). The algorithm proposed (figure 9) that cardiac ATTR
492 amyloidosis can be reliably diagnosed in the absence of histology provided an
493 echocardiogram or CMR is suggestive of amyloidosis, cardiac uptake is present on
494 scintigraphy and there is absence of a detectable monoclonal gammopathy. Histological
495 confirmation and typing of amyloid should be sought in all cases of suspected cardiac
496 amyloidosis in which these criteria are not met.

497 ***In summary, all these imaging techniques are useful and give additional***
498 ***information, including echocardiography, nuclear techniques, CMR (table 3 (65), but***
499 ***also EMB and genetic testing, to differentiate ATTR mutant from wild type. Figure***
500 ***10 illustrates the value of multimodality imaging in a patient with cardiac***
501 ***amyloidosis.***

Commented [v21]: Said before ?

Commented [v22]: If these sections are meant to be like keypoints, this sentence can be deleted

3 – Other causes of familial/genetic RCM

Hemochromatosis

Iron overload cardiomyopathy (IOC) results from iron accumulation in the myocardium mainly because of genetic disorders of iron metabolism (primary hemochromatosis) or multiple transfusions (such as in thalassemia or myelodysplastic syndromes).

In the early stages, myocardial iron overload (MIO) causes diastolic LV dysfunction (66). If no effective iron chelation is instituted in time, the majority of patients develops LV dilatation and reduced LV ejection fraction (EF) (dilated phenotype) (67). In a minority of cases with severe MIO, restrictive LV dysfunction can lead to pulmonary hypertension, right ventricular dilatation, and right-sided heart failure with preserved LVEF (restrictive phenotype) (68).

Echocardiography is a useful modality in the follow-up of iron-loaded patients. A pseudonormalized pattern of transmitral inflow is frequently encountered and may be unmasked by tissue Doppler (69). LV diastolic dysfunction and reduced EF may both be masked by an anemia-induced high cardiac output state in hematologic patients. There are few data relating diastolic function to outcome in hemochromatosis (70).

However, due to the lower accuracy in quantifying biventricular systolic function and the lack of parameters able to predict MIO reliably, echocardiography is only the second-line imaging method after CMR (71, 72).

The method of choice for assessing IOC is CMR, which allows tissue characterization including quantification of MIO. The paramagnetic effect of iron-loaded myocardium affects T1, T2 and T2* relaxation times which can be used to calculate MIO. The best validated method for quantifying MIO is T2* mapping. T2* values correlate closely with hepatic and myocardial iron content and correlate better with LV dilatation and LV dysfunction than serum ferritin or liver iron concentration. A T2* value of < 20 ms at 1.5 Tesla, typically measured in the interventricular septum, is used as a conservative cut-off for segmental and global heart iron overload and patients with the lowest T2* values have the highest risk of developing arrhythmia and heart failure. T2* CMR has revolutionized IOC management with the death rate in patients with Thalassemia falling dramatically in countries where T2* CMR has been adopted. In the assessment of IOC, the first cardiac T2* assessment should be performed as early as possible and the effectiveness of iron chelation (73) and reversal of MIO can be reliably guided by follow up scans (74). A multislice approach can detect the uneven distribution of MIO, allowing early identification of patients at risk of cardiac complications (75).

Commented [v23]: This is known worldwide. Please avoid information that is already known and not specific of this disease.

Commented [v24]: If not enough data, try to avoid it...

Commented [v25]: This we know it is common for every single cardiac disease. Please indicate the most important features that make any imaging technique unique for specific disease...

539 T2* is dependent on field strength and sensitive to field inhomogeneity. T2 and T1 mapping
540 techniques offer some advantages over T2* and have been compared with standard methods,
541 with initial studies showing close correlation with T2*.

542 In patients where the diagnosis is unclear, a multiparametric CMR approach that evaluates
543 cardiac function, myocardial fibrosis and edema may allow further clarification of the
544 underlying mechanisms leading to the LV dysfunction (76).

545
546 *In summary, cardiac involvement is frequent in hemochromatosis. CMR is the main*
547 *imaging technique for diagnosis and follow-up of cardiac hemochromatosis,*
548 *allowing both reliable measurement of LV and RV dimension and function and tissue*
549 *characterization including quantification of MIO.*

550

551 **Fabry cardiomyopathy**

552 Cardiac involvement is very common and is the most frequent cause of death not only in
553 hemizygote males but also in female heterozygote carriers with α -Gal A deficiency, with a
554 reduction of life expectancy of approximately 20 and 15 years respectively (77). The heart
555 may be the only organ affected in the classic phenotype of Fabry disease, and this is
556 designated the “cardiac variant” (78).

557 Cardiovascular manifestations include renovascular and systemic hypertension, aortic root
558 dilatation, mitral prolapse and congestive heart failure (79). Fabry cardiomyopathy mainly
559 consists of progressive LVH, which may cause substantial morbidity and contribute to the
560 reduced life expectancy of affected patients, both male and female (80, 81).

561 LVH is a hallmark of Fabry cardiomyopathy (82). In patient populations with HCM, the
562 prevalence of Fabry disease ranges from 0 to 12%, depending on the patient selection criteria
563 used, but is close to 1% in the largest series (83). LVH is generally symmetrical, although
564 asymmetric septal hypertrophy has been described, and the condition can mimic the
565 phenotypical and clinical features of HCM, including obstructive HCM (84). Typically, the
566 echocardiogram shows marked increases in wall thickness and ventricular dilatation later
567 in the disease process. Valve leaflet thickening can be seen, and this produces valve
568 impairment that usually does not require surgical treatment (85).

569 Echocardiography using TDI can detect the first signs of myocardial damage in a patient
570 with Fabry cardiomyopathy and normal cardiac wall thickness (86). Furthermore, TDI
571 studies have been shown to be useful in detecting cardiac involvement in female carriers

572 with no systemic manifestations of Fabry disease. A reduction of TDI velocities may
573 represent the first sign of initial intrinsic myocardial impairment (87). These reduced TDI
574 velocities in mutation positives without LVH are consistent with the hypothesis that
575 myocardial dysfunction precedes LVH (88).

576 CMR with LGE may be useful in the non-invasive recognition of myocardial fibrosis, in the
577 context of cardiac involvement of Fabry disease (89). The LGE pattern of distribution helps
578 in the differentiation between HCM and Fabry cardiomyopathy (90). Patients with Fabry
579 cardiomyopathy typically present with a pattern characterized by the involvement of the
580 inferolateral basal or mid basal segments (89). Furthermore, the myocardial T2 relaxation
581 time is prolonged in patients with Fabry disease compared with that in HCM patients, and
582 its measurement could be complementary to the LGE technique. More recently, native T1
583 mapping was shown to be the most reliable technique to differentiate Fabry cardiomyopathy
584 from all the other LVH phenocopies, by demonstrating a low native T1 value of the affected
585 myocardium (whilst other LGE area of different disease would display a high native T1
586 values) (90). This important difference is due to the characteristic fatty nature of the
587 infiltration in Fabry disease.

588 Finally, for most males with Fabry disease, the diagnosis can be made by measuring
589 leucocyte and plasma α -Gal activity, while genetic testing is useful in patients with normal
590 levels of enzyme activity (90). A familial screening should be performed in patients with
591 Fabry's disease (figure 11).

592
593 *In summary, cardiac involvement is frequent in Fabry disease and is associated with*
594 *worse outcome. Imaging techniques, especially TDI and CMR, allow a comprehensive*
595 *evaluation of cardiac involvement, even before morphological manifestations such*
596 *as hypertrophy develop.*

597
598 **Glycogen storage disease**

599 Glycogen storage disease is defined as the absence or deficiency of one of the enzymes
600 responsible for making or breaking down glycogen in the body. The enzyme deficiency
601 causes either abnormal tissue concentrations of glycogen or incorrectly or abnormally
602 formed glycogen (91, 92). There are 11 different types of glycogen storage diseases causing
603 different forms of heart failure. Most well-known are Danon and Pompe diseases (82, 93,
604 94).

605 Danon cardiomyopathy is progressive and typically manifests a hypertrophic phenotype,
606 with preserved LVEF and normal cavity dimensions early in the course of disease, and later
607 progression to dilated features in 11% to 12% of men (92). Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is
608 predominant in male patients, whereas an equal prevalence of hypertrophic and dilated
609 cardiomyopathy is seen in female patients (93).

Commented [v26]: Really needed for an imaging article ?

610 Echocardiography demonstrates increased LV mass and wall thickness although LV systolic
611 function is preserved. Taking into consideration the possible progress to cardiac failure,
612 serial echocardiograms with attention to LV thickness and mass are important in the care
613 of these patients (94, 95). Echocardiography is also the standard method to evaluate the
614 cardiac response to enzyme replacement therapy.

Commented [v27]: Already said before ?

615 Typical findings in CMR consist of significantly reduced LV global function and increase of
616 LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes. Perfusion defects, mainly subendocardial, are
617 visible in almost all segments on rest first-pass perfusion images. They may be obvious in
618 the infero-septal segments and partly transmural in the lateral and anterior walls. LGE
619 appears to be a rare finding in Pompe disease but when present, is seen in the
620 subendocardium and in places transmurally in the anterior and lateral walls (96, 97).

621 A diagnosis of Danon disease is always confirmed by EMB results.

622 ^{99m}Tc-methoxyisobutylisonitrile (MIBI) myocardial imaging has also been employed as an
623 imaging diagnostic test for glycogen storage disease, to detect myocardial damage as a non-
624 invasive method. There has been a positive rate of detection of damage with G-MPI of 77.8
625 % (98).

626 Other storage / infiltrative diseases (Gaucher disease, mucopolysaccharidoses) may be
627 rarely associated with cardiac involvement (99, 100).

628

629

630 **Pseudoxanthoma elasticum**

631 Pseudoxanthoma elasticum is a rare, inherited connective tissue disorder associated with
632 coronary and peripheral arterial disease and accelerated atherosclerosis in medium sized
633 arteries (101). Cardiac involvement may start as a diffuse arteriopathy secondary to elastic
634 fiber dysgenesis, involving the small intramural coronary vessels ('small-vessel disease') and
635 it may reach the clinical presentation of congestive heart failure, even though – quite often
636 – with normal epicardial vessels (102).

637 Echocardiography detects impaired LV systolic and diastolic function (103). Other imaging
638 modalities – as functional tests – such as perfusion CMR or nuclear myocardial perfusion
639 imaging, may be useful to demonstrate early coronary involvement and/or the direct

consequences of ultrastructural defects of the elastic tissue of the heart. Increased awareness for silent ischemia is recommended (101, 104).

An important study with arterial stiffness evaluation demonstrates the early detection of accelerated atherosclerosis and the impairment of the elastic properties of the aorta. A lower elasticity in large arteries, a higher cardiac output and a higher total vascular impedance were observed in patients with pseudoxanthoma elasticum with respect to the control group (104).

4 - Non familial/non-genetic RCM: Inflammatory cardiomyopathies with a restrictive hemodynamic component:

Cardiac Sarcoidosis

Sarcoidosis is a multisystem inflammatory granulomatous disease of unknown origin. Cardiac sarcoidosis (CS) is frequently isolated (105). Its diagnosis is difficult and has benefited from the use of multimodality imaging.

Although echocardiography is not the method of choice for the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis, it can offer very useful information in some cases (106). An unexplained reduced LV ejection fraction <40% in a patient with a histological diagnosis of extra-cardiac sarcoidosis is suggestive of cardiac sarcoidosis (107). Characteristic echocardiographic changes suggestive of cardiac sarcoidosis are: wall thickness >13 mm (due to granulomatous expansion), or <7 mm (due to fibrosis), aneurysmal dilatation especially at the level of the inferior and posterior walls (108), regional wall motion abnormalities without any specific coronary distribution, interspersed with normokinetic segments (109).

CMR is one of the imaging modalities recommended for the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis in current guidelines (106) and CMR may be more sensitive for cardiac involvement than currently used clinical criteria (110). Myocardial inflammation may be identified by T2 STIR images and early contrast enhancement while areas of fibrosis are detected by LGE (111) (figure 12). The typical pattern of cardiac sarcoidosis on LGE is patchy focal enhancement sparing the endocardial border, not following a coronary artery distribution (112), and involving mainly the basal and lateral LV walls (113). Single or often multiple lesions are seen and other, more atypical LGE patterns have also been described. Importantly, no LGE pattern is pathognomonic for CS. Moreover, CMR offers prognostic information: myocardial scar determined by LGE is a predictor for ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death in patients with sarcoidosis (114).

674 Nuclear imaging has also an important role in the assessment of cardiac sarcoidosis.
675 Although the major diagnostic criteria for CS include [67Ga]-citrate scintigraphy, its
676 sensitivity for CS is significantly lower than [18F]FDG-PET/CT (115). For this reason
677 [18F]FDG-PET/CT have currently replaced [67Ga]-scintigraphy in the majority of centers
678 being nowadays the most commonly used imaging test for detecting myocardial
679 inflammation. Advantages of [18F]FDG-PET/CT over [67Ga], includes favorable tracer
680 kinetics, lower radiation exposure, and better quality images (116). Active sarcoid lesions
681 present increased [18F]FDG uptake on PET/CT imaging due to utilization of glucose as an
682 energy source by inflammatory cell in infiltrates (117). However, [18F]FDG-PET/CT has not
683 been officially adopted in the diagnostic guidelines (118) mainly due to the high variability
684 of [18F]FDG uptake in the normal myocardium, that requires adequate patient preparation
685 to prevent errors. Strategies for myocardial suppression to maximize the accuracy of the
686 procedure include prolonged fasting, dietary modifications, and a heparin load before
687 imaging (119). The imaging protocol include preferable gated cardiac [18F]FDG and whole
688 body images (120). A cardiac perfusion scan could be combined to compare [18F]FDG-PET
689 and perfusion patterns (Table 4) (121).

690 Pitfalls in [18F]FDG PET/CT imaging are myocarditis, cardiac amyloidosis, infection, and
691 myocardial metastases, causing focal [18F]FDG uptake. There are very few circumstances
692 under which [18F]FDG will be falsely negative as in case of corticosteroids treatment or “old,
693 non-active” sarcoidosis.

694 [18F]FDG-PET/CT sensitivity and specificity for CS have been reported at 89% and 78%,
695 respectively (117). Quantitative analysis further improved these figures, reaching a
696 sensitivity of 97.3% and a specificity of 83.6% for the diagnosis of CS. In addition,
697 standardized uptake value (SUVmax) on [18F]FDG-PET/CT was found the only independent
698 predictor among clinical and imaging variables for diagnosing CS (122) .

699 Serial [18F]FDG-PET/CT imaging can be utilized to assess the response to therapies.
700 Decrease [18F]FDG uptake in cardiac lesions following therapy has been reported in case of
701 corticosteroid treatment as well as immunosuppressive therapies (123, 124). **Figure 13**
702 illustrates the value of serial [18F]FDG PET/CT in a patient with CS treated with high dose
703 corticosteroids.

704 [18F]FDG-PET/CT only moderately correlated with CMR, mainly due to the different
705 significance of findings: LGE by CMR represents cardiac damage and scarring whereas
706 [18F]FDG uptake represents active inflammation. When CMR and [18F]FDG -PET/CT were
707 compared with the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare guidelines (JMHWG), CMR had
708 a higher specificity with lower sensitivity than nuclear imaging (125) .

709

In summary, [18F]FDG-PET/CT and CMR are powerful imaging techniques for accurate detection and therapy monitoring of CS. Protocols for imaging with these modalities are increasingly well defined, however large prospective studies supporting new guidelines for CS imaging are warranted.

Systemic sclerosis

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a connective tissue disease characterized by vascular and fibrotic lesions of skin and internal organs and represents a model of progressive interstitial myocardial fibrosis triggered by increased endothelin production and also focal hypoperfusion (126). Cardiovascular involvement has been shown to be one of the leading causes of mortality in SSc and can occur in up to 70% of patients as a finding on autopsy (127, 128). Although the primary myocardial involvement remains clinically silent in the majority of patients, it can lead to further diastolic and systolic LV dysfunction (129), which carries a poor prognosis. Early diagnosis and accurate staging of myocardial involvement are therefore crucial for the management of these patients and for therapeutic strategies.

Conventional echocardiographic assessment of the LVEF has shown limited sensitivity being able to identify only 5% of patients with cardiac involvement (130). Results of studies using TDI and speckle-tracking echocardiography suggested that myocardial velocity and strain might be more sensitive than conventional measures in identifying subtle cardiac dysfunction in asymptomatic patients with SSc (131, 132).

Since myocardial fibrosis is the primary abnormality underlying SSc cardiac involvement, methods that enable early identification of fibrosis should be preferred. Endomyocardial biopsy is the gold standard for the detection of myocarditis that may be found in SSc patients and might help to detect cardiac involvement at an early stage of the disease as inflammation was found in 96 % and fibrosis in 100% of all SSc patients investigated (133). Importantly, prognosis was poor and associated with the degree of cardiac inflammation and fibrosis revealing an event rate of 28% within 22.5 months follow-up (133).

CMR with LGE imaging has been used to detect myocardial areas with replacement fibrosis in patients with an advanced stage of SSc (134). However, at an early stage of the disease, myocardial fibrosis in SSc is usually diffuse and thus, undetected by LGE-CMR. ECV estimation using pre and post contrast T1 mapping has been used to visualize increased collagen content in SSc (135). A recent study has demonstrated that ECV imaging performed early during SS reveals myocardial abnormalities consistent with diffuse myocardial fibrosis that are not apparent on LGE imaging, therefore representing an early marker of disease. (136). In addition, the ECV abnormalities correlated with diastolic LV dysfunction which

745 occurred in 45% of the patients (137). This study also evaluated the systolic circumferential
746 strain by CMR that was also found decreased but without any correlation with ECV increase,
747 suggesting therefore that LV systolic dysfunction may be related not only to myocardial
748 fibrosis but also to other phenomena, such as myocardial ischemia.

749 In SSc, myocardial ischemia, unrelated to coronary artery disease, is common with
750 impairment of microcirculation and coronary vasospasm (138). Therefore, stress
751 echocardiography, CMR stress perfusion and single-photon emission computed tomography
752 (SPECT) have been proposed to evaluate myocardial perfusion in SS patients

755 **5 - Non familial/non genetic RCM: Radiation therapy and cancer** 756 **drug therapy induced RCM:**

757 **Cardiac toxicity of radiation therapy**

758 In general, the development of radiotherapy-induced RCM suggests a prior high dose chest
759 irradiation (>60 Gy). It can also occur at lower radiation exposure when anthracycline is
760 used (139). RCM occurs as a result of diffuse myocardial fibrosis. On echocardiography, the
761 classical features of RCM are found. Although its value in radiation-related myocardial
762 fibrosis is still unclear, ECV estimation using pre and post contract T1 mapping by CMR is
763 directly related to collagen content (140). The presence of decreased mean LV mass, end-
764 diastolic dimension, and end-diastolic wall thickness together with dilation of both atria and
765 self-reported dyspnea, is suggestive of RCM in this population (141). Cardiac CT has little
766 value in the diagnosis of RCM after radiotherapy, except for the detection of any associated
767 vascular disease. There is no proven value of nuclear cardiology in the detection of RCM
768 after radiation exposure. However, perfusion scintigraphy imaging can reveal fixed regional
769 perfusion defects, which possibly indicate direct damage and the presence of local fibrosis
770 (142).

773 **Cancer drug induced RCM**

774 The typical structural manifestation of cancer drug induced cardiomyopathy corresponds
775 to a LV eccentric remodeling with dilation of internal cavity and thinning of myocardial walls
776 [143]. When clinical heart failure is overt, this picture is associated with a significant
777 reduction of LV ejection fraction. In the more advanced stages LV diastolic function can be
778 strongly altered, with an abnormal increase of LV filling pressure. This will induce the
779 classic "restrictive" physiology with the typical standard Doppler-derived transmittal

Commented [v28]: Is this specific for this type of RCM ? from previous description this is common to all of them ?

780 pattern: E/A ratio > 2 or even > 3 and short E velocity deceleration time (usually < 150-160
781 msec). The presence of a restrictive pattern in a patient with cancer drug induced
782 cardiotoxicity has a recognized prognostic value, exactly as this occurs in the general clinical
783 setting [8].
784 Currently, the restrictive diastolic pattern is detectable in particular in patients undergoing
785 anthracyclines (Cardiotoxicity type 1), it being possibly evident not only during treatment
786 (acute cardiotoxicity) but also - and more often - after the completion (even several years
787 after) of cancer therapies [143]. (figure 14, videos 6 and 7). Early cardiotoxicity, occurring
788 during or within 1 year of completion of treatment, is the most important risk factor for the
789 development of late cardiotoxicity, which occurs beyond a year of completion of treatment.
790 This is very important to know in children undergoing anthracyclines therapy. In fact, they
791 can develop late cardiotoxicity during adulthood and should be therefore carefully
792 monitored for years by echocardiography. Cumulative as well as peak anthracycline doses
793 affect adults and children alike.
794 The restrictive physiology of diastolic pattern is instead very rare in patients undergoing
795 trastuzumab therapy and similar drugs (Cardiotoxicity type 2) [143]. This kind of
796 cardiotoxicity is usually reversible with cancer therapy interruption. However, since
797 trastuzumab can be sequentially added to anthracyclines, a combined effect anthracyclines
798 + trastuzumab on the degree of LV filling pressures cannot be excluded and should therefore
799 be carefully monitored.
800 When a restrictive LV diastolic pattern is detectable in patients receiving cancer drugs, the
801 echocardiographic exam should be extended to a quantitative evaluation of LV longitudinal
802 function. In fact, when high levels of LV filling pressure are evident, a reduction of global
803 longitudinal strain (GLS), measurable by speckle tracking echocardiography, is usually
804 observed. If speckle tracking echocardiography is not available, pulsed tissue Doppler
805 derived s' velocity of the mitral annulus or even the simple M-mode derived mitral annular
806 plane systolic excursion represent much more than simple surrogates of LV longitudinal
807 dysfunction.
808 In this cohort of patients, CMR can be useful both for the accurate volumetric assessment
809 with cine imaging but also with the LGE technique for the detection of myocardial fibrosis
810 [143], i.e., the first determinant of LV diastolic dysfunction and LV filling pressure increase.
811
812
813
814
815

Commented [v29]: This is also the same than everywhere. It should be kept to highlight the points characteristic for each RCM type.

6 – Endomyocardial RCMs

Endomyocardial fibrosis

Endomyocardial fibrosis (EMF) is an often-neglected disorder in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world which is characterized by the development of a restrictive cardiomyopathy (144), and is associated with a high morbidity and mortality (145). As etiologic causes of endomyocardial fibrosis, infections, inflammation, allergy, malnutrition and toxic agents are discussed (146). At the histological level, EMF is characterized by a marked endocardial thickening due to the deposition of fibrous tissue (Figure 15)(147).

An echocardiographic examination of 1063 individuals revealed that most subjects (55%) had a biventricular involvement, and 28% revealed a right-sided prevalence with mild-moderate structural and functional echocardiographic abnormalities (148).

Regarding the diagnosis of EMF, transthoracic echocardiographic changes can be useful for visualizing structural abnormalities, especially in chronic EMF (145, 147). The main echocardiographic features include apical obliteration of the left and / or right ventricles, reduced volume of the ventricular cavity, endocardial thickening and a restrictive pattern. (figure 16, video 8)

Endomyocardial fibrosis may be difficult to differentiate from other cardiomyopathies (Loeffler's endocarditis, Churg-Strauss syndrome or rheumatoid arthritis, tuberculous pericarditis, constrictive pericarditis or apical HCM (145, 149-151). After initial echocardiographic analysis, CMR (152) including LGE imaging should be performed which is now the gold standard for imaging the disease.(figure 17) In a CMR study of 36 patients it was shown that LGE-CMR can provide detailed information on ventricular morphology, including the existence of thrombus or calcifications, and revealing functional information which is useful in the diagnosis and prognosis of EMF through quantification of the typical pattern of the endocardial fibrous tissue deposition (153). Adjunctive diagnostic tools, such as EMB, can be considered in ambiguous cases (154) and can help in patient management.

Hypereosinophilic syndrome

Eosinophilic endomyocardial fibrosis is a rare cause of RCM, resulting from toxicity of eosinophils towards cardiac tissues (155). The causes for eosinophilic infiltration of myocardium are hypersensitivity, parasitic infestation, systemic disease, myeloproliferative syndrome and idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome (155).

Cardiac disease follows three stages, with involvement of the endocardium, the myocardium and the pericardium. The first is eosinophilic myocarditis (acute necrotic stage) due to

infiltration of eosinophils and release of the contents of their granules in the myocardium (155). There is no relationship between the extent of the infiltrate and clinical symptoms (156). The intermediate phase is the thrombotic stage, characterized by mural thrombi along the damaged endocardium (more often in the apex of the left ventricle). The third stage is the later fibrotic stage in which the granulation tissue is changed into hyaline fibrosis. The endocardial scar can result in a decrease of ventricular compliance and in RCM (157). On echocardiography, classical findings are progressive endomyocardial thickening, apical obliteration of one or both ventricles by echogenic material suggestive of fibrosis or thrombus formation, posterior mitral leaflet involvement and papillary dysfunction resulting in mitral regurgitation (157, 158) (figure 18a). Pericardial effusion can be present as well as the typical RCM pattern of normal-to-small ventricles with large atria (159). Echocardiography can also be useful for monitoring the effects of specific therapies on the reversal of endomyocardial infiltration in hypereosinophilic cardiomyopathy (160). CMR is very useful in endomyocardial fibrosis, both for diagnosis of endocardial involvement and for detection of thrombus formation in both ventricles (161-164)(figure 18b). The gold standard is EMB but the high resolution of CMR and TTE is frequently sufficient for diagnosis and follow-up. (3).

Carcinoid heart disease

Carcinoid heart disease occurs in 20% to 70% of patients with metastatic carcinoid tumors and will lead to increased morbidity and mortality in these patients. (165) The endocardial fibrosis results in retraction and fixation of the heart valves. Right-sided valves are mainly affected(166). Left-sided valvular pathology occurs in approximately 10% of patients with carcinoid heart disease and is associated with right-to-left shunting, bronchial carcinoid, or poorly controlled carcinoid syndrome. (167, 168). The hallmarks of carcinoid heart disease are a combination of right-sided valvular dysfunction and typical morphological changes of the valves like valve leaflet thickening, shortening, retraction, reduced mobility, or incomplete coaptation of the tricuspid leaflets. (169- 171). CMR has an additive value in carcinoid heart diseases, especially when echocardiography is inconclusive and for accurate measurements of right ventricular function and assessment of carcinoid plaques using LGE (171). Figure 19, videos 9 and 10, illustrate the value of multimodality imaging in a patient with carcinoid heart disease.

Commented [v30]: all this is not related per se to the RCM physiology. Please indicate the typical findings that make the diagnosis of RCM. The valves is another story.

Drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis

Animal data suggest the possibility of drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis induced by 5-HT_{2B} serotonin receptor agonists such as fenfluramine derivatives, pergolide, cabergolide and methysergide and ergotamine (172-174), but very scarce data are currently reported in man. Indeed, only one case of RCM is reported after fenfluramine-phentermine exposure (175). In addition, a case of sub-aortic obstruction within the LV outflow tract related to drug-induced endomyocardial fibrosis has been recently reported in a patient exposed to benfluorex, an agonist of 5-HT_{2B} serotonergic receptors (176).

Commented [GH31]: This chapter was again shortened but it should be kept since it is part of the classification of RCM given by the cardiomyopathies WG

6. Differential diagnosis between RCM and other cardiac diseases

Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis

Differential diagnosis between RCM and constrictive pericarditis (CP) can be a challenge as their clinical presentation is relatively similar with right heart failure symptoms, preserved LV ejection fraction, and diastolic dysfunction. However, as the treatment of these two conditions is very different, constriction being potentially curable by surgery, making the correct diagnosis is critically important. The differential diagnosis could be performed particularly using the complementary elements obtained from TTE, CMR, cardiac CT, or cardiac catheterization. (table 5)

Cardiac catheterization was the first method historically used to help in the differential diagnosis of RCM and CP, but is not always conclusive (177, 178).

In both RCM and CP, biatrial dilatation, venous dilatation as well as pericardial effusion can be observed. Several echocardiographic parameters have been identified to differentiate myocardial diseases from pericardial constriction (10, 179). In case of RCM, some degree of LV or biventricular hypertrophy or unusual echo texture can be noted (RCM of infiltrative origin). In case of constrictive pericarditis, pericardial thickening (>3mm) or hyperechogenicity of the pericardium can be observed. But one of the main characteristics of CP is the absence of transmission of the intrathoracic pressure variations to the heart, which are physiologically present during the respiratory cycle.

Both TTE and real time cine CMR allow the identification of some key findings which differentiate the two pathologies: septal bulging occurring with cavity volume variations and the exaggerated respiratory-related LV-RV coupling highlighted by a respiratory septal shift observed in CP and a significant respiratory variation of the diastolic flow. The respiratory septal shift is defined by a difference in the maximal septal excursion into LV between

Commented [v32]: If only 1 case has been reported showing RCM, I would delete this section

Commented [v33]: abbreviation

Commented [GH34R33]: ok

920 inspiration and expiration (Video 11).(179) Using CMR, this parameter has a sensitivity of
921 80% and specificity of 100% to detect CP. (180)

922 Other echocardiographic findings have been reported to be useful for differentiating RCM
923 and CP, including TDI (e'), E velocity deceleration time, pulmonary vein flow, left atrial
924 volume, and E/e' ratio (181). Figure 20 shows an algorithm proposed by the recent ASE /
925 EACVI recommendations for the evaluation of diastolic function by echocardiography (8),
926 comparing constrictive pericarditis and RCM. The presence of a normal annular e' velocity
927 in a patient referred with heart failure diagnosis should raise suspicion of pericardial
928 constriction (8).

929 LV myocardial velocities (182-185) and deformation (11) measured by both TTE and CMR
930 (186) are reduced at a greater degree in RCM compared to constrictive pericarditis. Both
931 echocardiography and CMR provide concordant diagnostic information and incremental
932 value for differentiating constrictive pericarditis from RCM. Complementary assessment of
933 structural (pericardial thickening), mechanical (myocardial velocities and strains) and
934 hemodynamic (respiratory septal shift) by both TTE and CMR and their complementary use
935 increase the cost-efficacy and confidence for the diagnosis of RCM vs. constrictive
936 pericarditis.

937 Cardiac CT provides excellent anatomic delineation of the pericardium, allowing for accurate
938 measurement of pericardial thickness (abnormal if >4mm) (187), although a normal
939 pericardial thickness does not exclude constrictive pericarditis (188). Cardiac CT is superior
940 to CMR in detecting pericardial calcifications (189). Finally, multimodality imaging should
941 be performed in patients with suspected constrictive pericarditis, since each imaging
942 modality presents with both advantages and limitations (table 5, figure 21)

943

944 *In summary, the differentiation between RCM and constrictive pericarditis is*
945 *frequently difficult and should take into account both clinical presentation and*
946 *multimodality imaging. The absence of pericardial thickening does not rule out*
947 *constrictive pericarditis. Echocardiography, CMR and CT provide complementary*
948 *information and in many patients all three should be performed when constrictive*
949 *pericarditis is suspected.*

950

951

Differential diagnosis or association between RCM and other myocardial diseases

Although in its most typical « apparently idiopathic » form, RCM presents without LV hypertrophy, in some patients, some forms of cardiomyopathy may resemble or be associated with RCM. Particularly, HCM may resemble RCM in some patients. The classical HCM phenotype presents with enhanced contractility, small cavity, reduced indexed stroke volume, LVOT obstruction, grade 1 diastolic dysfunction with some fibrosis (190, 191). As the disease progresses, extensive fibrosis (52), reduced systolic function (52), diastolic dysfunction (192, 193), marked dilatation of the atria (194), relative thinning of the LV walls, loss of LVOT obstruction (194-196) and pulmonary hypertension (196) dominate the picture, mimicking RCM.

Isolated LV non-compaction is a rare form of cardiomyopathy (197), which should also be differentiated from RCM, but is also sometimes associated with a restrictive pattern or even a true RCM (198) (figure 22, video 12)

7. Conclusion and future directions

RCM represents a heterogeneous group of cardiac diseases, with different pathophysiological processes, clinical presentation, treatment, and prognosis. The two main objectives of the clinician are to rule out constrictive pericarditis, and to find a potentially treatable cause of RCM. Imaging techniques including echocardiography, cardiac CT, CMR, and nuclear techniques are of utmost value for the diagnostic and prognostic assessment of RCM. These techniques give additional information and should frequently be used in combination in the same patient to maximize diagnostic performance. Finally, additional investigations such as endomyocardial biopsy, familial screening, and genetic studies are frequently necessary in these patients. For these reasons, patients with suspected RCM should be referred to specialized centers that can provide multimodality imaging and a multidisciplinary team approach.

Commented [v35]: this sentence kills a bit the entire purpose of the review because it seems that there is no consensus on which imaging technique we have to use first. Honestly, in 99% of cases the patient will get an echo first (even before doing the anamnesis).

Figure legends:

Figure 1: ASE – EACVI criteria for grading LV diastolic function in patients with depressed LVEF and patients with myocardial disease and normal LVEF after consideration of clinical and other 2D data. (from reference 8 with permission)

Figure 2: 74 year old patient presenting with breathlessness. Cine CMR showed global left ventricular hypertrophy, impaired longitudinal LV shortening and dilated atria. Late gadolinium enhanced CMR in the figure showed diffuse endocardial enhancement consistent with infiltrative disease. Subsequently the patient was found to have amyloidosis. LV: left ventricle; RV: right ventricle; LA: left atrium; RA: right atrium

Figure 3: Patient With Acute Myocardial Sarcoidosis (from reference 37 with permission)
Patient (62-year-old male) followed for histologically proven pulmonary sarcoidosis treated by steroids for 10 years presented with symptoms of acute breathlessness. Cardiac involvement was suspected. LGE-CMR (A) images showed patchy LGE of the lateral wall. Matched FDG-PET (B) and fused FDG-PET/MR (C and D) images obtained in short-axis view showed intense uptake in exactly the same territory as the pattern of injury on CMR (maximum standardized uptake value of LGE territory/blood pool uptake ratio = 2.7). A 2-chamber cine CMR (E) sequence showed mild hypokinesis of the lateral wall and mild overall left ventricular systolic impairment (left ventricular ejection fraction = 52%). Maximum intensity projection FDG-PET (F) cine view confirmed abnormal myocardial uptake without evidence of increased activity outside of the heart.

Figure 4: Imaging of RCM at the cellular level. Different disease entities of RCM are visualized by histology and immunohistology. Sarcoidosis with typical granulomas, fibrosis (blue tissue) (A, Masson trichrome stain) and numerous CD68+ macrophages and giant cells (B, immunohistochemistry). Hypereosinophilic syndrome with myocyte necrosis, eosinophilic granulocytes (C, Giemsa stain) and CD68+macrophages (D, immunohistochemistry). Storage diseases: Hemochromatosis with iron containing myocytes (E, Prussian blue), and fibrosis (F, Sirius red). AL-amyloidosis (G, AL-amyloid immunohistochemistry (green), H, Kongo red). Glycogenosis with hypertrophic, vacuolated myocytes and fibrosis (I, Masson trichrome stain) and large amounts of glycogen (J, PAS stain (red)). (A,B x 100x, C-J x200).

1020 Figure 5: echo findings in 3 patients with apparently idiopathic RCM.

1021 5a and video 1(TTE), 5b (CMR): impressive dilatation of both atria predominating on the right
1022 cavities, contrasting with small LV and RV cavities

1023 5c and video 2: more classical form of idiopathic RCM with normal ventricular systolic function and
1024 severe atrial dilatation

1025 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1026 5d: Multimodality imaging in a severe RCM. Patient in atrial fibrillation, and a pace maker for
1027 severe atrio-ventricular block. Huge atria that can be seen on the CT (1), the chest X-ray (2) and
1028 the Echocardiography (6). There is a severe tricuspid regurgitation (5) and a severe alteration of the
1029 longitudinal systolic and diastolic function as shown by the tissue Doppler (5),and the strain data
1030 (4). Extensive circumferential subendocardial late gadolinium enhancement is observed by CMR (3).

1031

1032 Figure 6a- 2D echocardiography in a 52 year-old male with cardiac amyloidosis, AL type, associated
1033 with plasma cell dyscrasia: non- dilated LV with moderate concentric LVH with 'granular sparkling'
1034 appearance, mitral valve thickening, mild to moderate biatrial dilatation, inter atrial septum
1035 infiltration (loss of physiological echo drop-out) and mild pericardial effusion

1036 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, Ao: aorta

1037 Figure 6b- Diastolic function in the same patient: $E/A \gg 1$ (PWD transmitral inflow), low systolic
1038 and diastolic myocardial velocities (TDI), $E/e' = 25$, reflecting high LV filling pressures

1039

1040 Figure 7a- 2D-STE apical longitudinal view in systemic AL amyloidosis : severely abnormal
1041 longitudinal strain, particularly in the basal and medial LV segments

1042 Figure 7b- Systemic AL amyloidosis , multiple myeloma: 2D-STE : Relative apical sparing, typical of
1043 cardiac amyloidosis. Note the abnormal GLS (-4,9%)

1044

1045 Figure 8a. CMR in a 79-year old patient with cardiac amyloidosis showing mild septal hypertrophy
1046 (16mm), biatrial enlargement, and diffuse patchy uptake of gadolinium throughout the
1047 midventricular and basal segments of the septal, anterior and inferior wall with sparing of the
1048 apicolateral wall. (Note small areas of bilateral subendocardial LGE in the septal wall characteristic
1049 of cardiac amyloidosis (arrows) and LGE in the right ventricular free wall and the left atrium).

1050 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1051

1052 Figure 8b. Late-phase planar ^{99m}Tc-DPD-scintigraphy (anterior views) in a patient with ATTR
1053 amyloidosis (A) and a normal control (B). Note intense cardiac uptake in (A) demonstrating cardiac
1054 amyloidosis. Moreover, increased soft tissue uptake particularly in the shoulder region and the
1055 abdominal wall with obscuring of bone uptake can be observed as a typical pattern of ATTR
1056 amyloidosis.

1057
1058 Figure 9: Diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected amyloid cardiomyopathy. (from reference
1059 64 with permission). AApoA1 indicates apolipoprotein A-I; DPD, 3,3-diphosphono-1,2-
1060 propanodicarboxylic acid; HDMP, hydroxymethylene diphosphonate; and PYP, pyrophosphate.

1061
1062 Figure 10: multimodality imaging in a patient with familial TTR amyloidosis
1063 10a: and video 3: 2D echo long-axis view showing LV hypertrophy and pericardial effusion
1064 10b: and video 4: apical sparing by 2D strain
1065 10c: intense cardiac uptake on ^{99m}Tc scintigraphy
1066 10d and video 5: CMR confirming LV hypertrophy and pericardial effusion
1067 RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, Per: pericardial effusion

1068
1069 Figure 11: familial Fabry's disease in 2 brothers
1070 - 11a: EKG in a 55 year-old male showing a pattern of apical hypertrophy
1071 - 11b: apical transthoracic view showing an apical hypertrophy (arrow)
1072 - 11c: CMR finding of predominantly apical hypertrophy
1073 - 11d: inferolateral late gadolinium enhancement
1074 - 11e: EKG in his young brother showing milder but similar abnormalities
1075 - 11f: concentric diffuse hypertrophy in the brother
1076 RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium, RA: right atrium

1077
1078 Figure 12: Patient with known cardiac sarcoidosis. The image shows a late gadolinium enhanced
1079 CMR image in the vertical long axis plane. Several focal areas of myocardial enhancement can be
1080 seen (arrows) consistent with granulomatous myocardial infiltration.

1081
1082 Figure 13: 41 year-old male with a total AV-block, bradycardia and weakness. The patient was
1083 suspected of cardiac sarcoidosis. Echocardiography was normal. A FDG PET/CT was performed after
1084 careful patient preparation with a fatty diet and showed heterogeneous, spotty high uptake in the
1085 left ventricle of the heart (left whole body PET and upper row right short axis PET/CT). The patient
1086 was treated with high dose corticosteroids and the repeated FDG PET/CT after 3 months shows fully
1087 normalization of the myocardium (right whole body FDG PET/CT and lower short axis PET/CT).

1088
1089
1090

1091 Figure 14 and videos 6 and 7: 25 year-old woman treated for Hodgkin disease in infancy with
1092 anthracyclins.

1093 Chest X ray (1) and echocardiography (2 and 3) show a non-dilated left ventricle, with a relatively
1094 preserved LV contractility (video 6). However, mitral flow (4) and pulmonary venous flow (5) show a
1095 severely restrictive pattern and tricuspid flow recording (6) reveals pulmonary hypertension. Severe
1096 longitudinal dysfunction is evidenced by 2D strain (video 7)

1097

1098

1099 Figure 15: histologic finding in a patient with endomyocardial fibrosis

1100

1101

1102 Figure 16a and video 8 (TTE), 16b (CMR): right ventricular endomyocardial fibrosis in a 50 year-old
1103 woman. The apex of the right ventricle is obliterated (white arrow), with subsequent surgical
1104 confirmation.

1105 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1106

1107 Figure 17: LV endomyocardial fibrosis in a 58 year-old man presenting with congestive heart failure

1108 17a: Cine 4 chamber view in end-diastolic phase showing a thickening of LV apex (black arrow), a
1109 reduced volume of the left ventricular cavity and a left atrial enlargement.

1110 17b: LGE 4 chambers view showing a marked endocardial thickening with late gadolinium
1111 enhancement (black arrow) and an apical thrombus (open arrow).

1112

1113

1114 Figure 18a: Multimodality imaging in hypereosinophilic syndrome with cardiac involvement showing
1115 severe restriction of the posterior mitral leaflet associated with involvement of the subvalvular
1116 apparatus and severe mitral regurgitation by echocardiography (a, b) and CMR (c) with worsening in
1117 the follow-up (d). From reference 158 with permission

1118 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1119

1120 Figure 18b: CMR in a patient with hypereosinophilic syndrome and Loeffler's syndrome. Cine image
1121 (still frame) (A) demonstrates a dilated left ventricle and moderate pericardial effusion (asterisks).

1122 T2-weighted image (B,C) shows subendocardial high signal intensity suggestive of inflammation
1123 (white arrows), and T1-weighted images after contrast administration (D–F) demonstrate

1124 endocardial fibrosis (arrowheads). Of note, an RV apical thrombus is evident in the cine image and
1125 in the T1-weighted sequences (triangles) (from reference 162 with permission)

1126

1127 Figure 19, videos 9 and 10: carcinoid disease with right heart involvement.

1128 19a (TTE) and 19c (CMR): Restriction of the movements of the tricuspid leaflets, which are
1129 thickened. The right ventricle myocardium is also involved

1130 19b: massive tricuspid regurgitation (TTE)

1131 19c: CMR showing dilatation of right heart cavities and restricted tricuspid leaflet (arrow)

1132 RA: right atrium, RV: right ventricle, LV: left ventricle, LA: left atrium

1133

1134 Figure 20: ASE / EACVI algorithm comparing constrictive pericarditis and restrictive
1135 cardiomyopathy.

1136

1137 Figure 21: Multimodality imaging in a patient with constrictive pericarditis

1138 21a: CMR: Cine 4 chambers view in end-diastolic phase showing a circumferential pericardial
1139 thickening (black arrows), biatrial dilatation and septal convexity inversion (open arrow)

1140 21b: Cardiac CT: Axial thoracic CT scan showing a circumferential pericardial thickening (black
1141 arrows).

1142

1143 Video 11: CMR in constrictive pericarditis, illustrating the respiratory septal shift (difference in the
1144 maximal septal excursion into LV between inspiration and expiration)

1145

1146 Figure 22 and video 12: left ventricular hypertrabeculation (arrows) in a young patient with severe
1147 RCM

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156 **References**

1157

1158**1.** Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, Bilinska Z, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. Classification of the
1159 cardiomyopathies: a position statement from the European Society Of Cardiology Working Group on
1160 Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J. 2008 ; 29:270-6.
1161

1162**2.** Richardson P, McKenna W, Bristow M, Maisch B, Mautner B, O'Connell J, et al. Report of the 1995
1163 World Health Organization/International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the
1164 Definition and Classification of cardiomyopathies. Circulation. 1996;93:841-2.
1165

1166**3.** Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, Antzelevitch C, Corrado D, Arnett D, et al. Contemporary definitions
1167 and classification of the cardiomyopathies: an American Heart Association Scientific Statement from
1168 the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee; Quality of Care
1169 and Outcomes Research and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary
1170 Working Groups; and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. Circulation. 2006;113:1807-16.
1171

1172**4.** Nihoyannopoulos P, Dawson D. Restrictive cardiomyopathies. Eur J Echocardiogr 2009 ; 10 : 23-33
1173

1174**5.** Kushwaha SS, Fallon JT, Fuster V. Restrictive cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 1997 ; 336:267-76.
1175

1176**6.** Tam JW, Shaikh N, Sutherland E. Echocardiographic assessment of patients with hypertrophic and
1177 restrictive cardiomyopathy: imaging and echocardiography. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2002;17:470-7.
1178

1179**7.** Hancock EW. Differential diagnosis of restrictive cardiomyopathy and constrictive pericarditis. Heart
1180 2001;86:343-9.
1181

1182**8.** Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al.
1183 Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An
1184 Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of
1185 Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging doi:10.1093/ehjci/jew082
1186

1187**9.** Klein AL, Hatle LK, Taliercio CP, Oh JK, Kyle RA, Gertz MA, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ.
1188 Prognostic significance of Doppler measures of diastolic function in cardiac amyloidosis. A Doppler
1189 echocardiography study. Circulation 1991;83:808-816
1190
1191

1192**10.** Hyodo E, Hozumi T, Takemoto Y, et al. Early detection of cardiac involvement in patients with
1193 sarcoidosis by a non-invasive method with ultrasonic tissue characterisation. *Heart* 2004;90:1275–
1194 80.
1195

1196**11.** Bhandari AK, Nanda NC. Myocardial texture characterization by two-dimensional
1197 echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 1983; 51:817
1198

1199**12.** Schiano-Lomoriello V, Galderisi M, Mele D, Esposito R, Cerciello G, Buonauro A, et al.
1200 Longitudinal strain of left ventricular basal segments and E/e' ratio differentiate primary cardiac
1201 amyloidosis at presentation from hypertensive hypertrophy: an automated function imaging study.
1202 *Echocardiography*. 2016 Jun 9. doi: 10.1111/echo.13278
1203

1204**13.** Sengupta PP, Krishnamoorthy VK, Abhayaratna WP, Korinek J, Belohlavek M, Sundt TM 3rd,
1205 et al. Disparate patterns of left ventricular mechanics differentiate constrictive pericarditis from
1206 restrictive cardiomyopathy. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2008;**1**:29-38.

1207**14.** Kramer CM, Barkhausen J, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E; Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic
1208 Resonance Board of Trustees Task Force on Standardized Protocols. Standardized cardiovascular
1209 magnetic resonance (CMR) protocols 2013 update. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013 Oct 8;15:91
1210

1211**15.** Bellenger NG, Davies LC, Francis JM, Coats AJ, Pennell DJ. Reduction in sample size for
1212 studies of remodeling in heart failure by the use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc*
1213 *Magn Reson*. 2000;2(4):271-8.
1214

1215**16.** Cosyns B, Plein S, Nihoyanopoulos P, Smiseth O, Achenbach S, Andrade MJ, et al. European
1216 Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI); European Society of Cardiology Working Group (ESC
1217 WG) on Myocardial and Pericardial diseases. European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging
1218 (EACVI) position paper: Multimodality imaging in pericardial disease. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc*
1219 *Imaging*. 2015;16:12-31.
1220

1221**17.** Franccone M, Dymarkowski S, Kalantzi M, Rademakers FE, Bogaert J. Assessment of
1222 ventricular coupling with real-time cine MRI and its value to differentiate constrictive pericarditis
1223 from restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Eur Radiol*. 2006;16:944-51
1224

1225**18.** Sado DM, White SK, Piechnik SK, Banyersad SM, Treibel T, Captur G, et al. Identification
1226 and assessment of Anderson-Fabry disease by cardiovascular magnetic resonance noncontrast
1227 myocardial T1 mapping. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:392-8
1228

1229**19.** Fontana M, Pica S, Reant P, Abdel-Gadir A, Treibel TA, Banypersad SM, et al. Prognostic Value
1230 of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in Cardiac Amyloidosis.
1231 Circulation. 2015;132:1570-9
1232

1233**20.** Schelbert EB, Piehler KM, Zareba KM, Moon JC, Ugander M, Messroghli DR, et al. Myocardial
1234 Fibrosis Quantified by Extracellular Volume Is Associated With Subsequent Hospitalization for Heart
1235 Failure, Death, or Both Across the Spectrum of Ejection Fraction and Heart Failure Stage. J Am
1236 Heart Assoc. 2015;4: 12.
1237

1238**21.** Anderson LJ, Holden S, Davis B, Prescott E, Charrier CC, Bunce NH, et al. Cardiovascular
1239 T2-star (T2*) magnetic resonance for the early diagnosis of myocardial iron overload. Eur Heart J.
1240 2001 ;22:2171-9.
1241

1242**22.** Modell B, Khan M, Darlison M, Westwood MA, Ingram D, Pennell DJ. Improved survival of
1243 thalassaemia major in the UK and relation to T2* cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc
1244 Magn Reson. 2008;10:42
1245

1246**23.** Karamitsos TD, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Fontana M, Ntusi NB, Ferreira VM, et al.
1247 Noncontrast T1 mapping for the diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013
1248 ;6:488-97
1249

1250**24.** Banypersad SM, Sado DM, Flett AS, Gibbs SD, Pinney JH, Maestrini V, et al. Quantification
1251 of myocardial extracellular volume fraction in systemic AL amyloidosis: an equilibrium contrast
1252 cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:34-9.
1253

1254**25.** Alam MH, Auger D, McGill LA, Smith GC, He T, Izgi C, et al. Comparison of 3 T and 1.5 T for
1255 T2* magnetic resonance of tissue iron. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2016;18:40
1256

1257**26.** Yared K, Baggish AL, Picard MH, Hoffmann U, Hung J. Multimodality imaging of pericardial
1258 diseases. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2010;**3**:650–660.
1259

1260**27.** Zhao L, Ma X, Feuchtner GM, Zhang C, Fan Z. Quantification of myocardial delayed
1261 enhancement and wall thickness in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: multidetector computed
1262 tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. European journal of radiology. 2014;**83**:1778–1785.
1263

1264**28.** Hamilton-Craig C, Selmann M, Ropers D, Achenbach S. Myocardial viability by dual-energy
1265 delayed enhancement computed tomography. JACC Cardiovascular imaging. 2011;**4**:207–208.
1266

1267**29.** Bandula S, Banypersad SM, Sado D, Flett AS, Punwani S, Taylor SA, et al. Measurement of
1268 Tissue Interstitial Volume in Healthy Patients and Those with Amyloidosis with Equilibrium Contrast-
1269 enhanced MR Imaging. *Radiology*. Radiological Society of North America, Inc; 2013;**268**:858–864.
1270

1271**30.** Kula RW, Engel WK, Line BR. Scanning for soft-tissue amyloid. *Lancet* 1977 ; 1:92–3
1272

1273**31.** Aljaroudi WA, Desai MY, Tang WH, Phelan D, Cerqueira MD, Jaber WA. Role of imaging in the
1274 diagnosis and management of patients with cardiac amyloidosis: state of the art review and focus on
1275 emerging nuclear techniques. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2014;21:271-83
1276

1277**32.** Glaudemans AW, van Rheenen RW, van den Berg MP, et al. Bone scintigraphy with
1278 (99m)technetium-hydroxymethylene diphosphonate allows early diagnosis of cardiac involvement in
1279 patients with transthyretin-derived systemic amyloidosis. *Amyloid* 2014; **21**: 35-44.
1280

1281**33.** Minutoli F, Di Bella G, Mazzeo A, Donato R, Russo M, Scribano E, et al. Comparison between
1282 (99m)Tc-diphosphonate imaging and MRI with late gadolinium enhancement in evaluating cardiac
1283 involvement in patients with transthyretin familial amyloid polyneuropathy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*
1284 2013;200:W256-65.
1285

1286**34.** Coutinho MC, Cortez-Dias N, Cantinho G, Conceição I, Oliveira A, Bordalo e Sá A, et al.
1287 Reduced myocardial 123-iodine metaiodobenzylguanidine uptake: a prognostic marker in familial
1288 amyloid polyneuropathy. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:627-36.
1289

1290**35.** Youssef G, Beanlands RSB, Birnie DH, Nery PB. Cardiac sarcoidosis: applications of imaging
1291 in diagnosis and directing treatment. *Heart*. 2011;97(24):2078–87.
1292

1293**36.** Ohira H, Tsujino I, Ishimaru S, et al. Myocardial imaging with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose
1294 positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol*
1295 *Imaging*. 2008;35(5):933–41.
1296
1297

1298**37.** **Apgral RD**weck MR, Trivieri MG, Robson PM, Karakatsanis N, Mani V, et al. Clinical Utility
1299 of Combined FDG-PET/MR to Assess Myocardial Disease. *JACC Imaging*. 2016. Epub pii: S1936-
1300 878X(16)30259-5
1301

1302**38.** Mogensen J, Arbustini E. Restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 2009;24:214-20.
1303

1304**39.** Arbustini E, Morbini P, Grasso M, et al. Restrictive cardiomyopathy, atrioventricular block
1305 and mild to subclinical myopathy in patients with desmin-immunoreactive material deposits. *J Am*
1306 *Coll Cardiol* 1998;31:645-53.
1307

1308**40.** Arbustini E, Buonanno C, Trevi G, Pennelli N, Ferrans VJ, Thiene G. Cardiac ultrastructure
1309 in primary restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Chest* 1983;84:236-8.
1310

1311**41.** Cannavale A, Ordovas KG, Rame EJ, Higgins CB. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with
1312 restrictive phenotype and myocardial crypts. *J Thorac Imaging* 2010;25:W121-3.
1313

1314**42.** Rapezzi C, Lorenzini M, Longhi S, et al. Cardiac amyloidosis: the great pretender. *Heart Fail*
1315 *Rev* 2015; **20**: 117-24
1316

1317**43.** Rapezzi C, Arbustini E, Caforio AL, et al. Diagnostic work-up in cardiomyopathies: bridging
1318 the gap between clinical phenotypes and final diagnosis. A position statement from the ESC Working
1319 Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34:1448-58.
1320

1321**44.** Koyama J, Ikeda S, Ikeda U. Echocardiographic assessment of the cardiac amyloidoses. *Circ*
1322 *J* 2015; **79**: 721-34.
1323

1324**45.** Feng D, Edwards WD, Oh JK, et al. Intracardiac thrombosis and embolism in patients with
1325 cardiac amyloidosis. *Circulation* 2007; **116**: 2420-6.
1326

1327**46.** Innelli P, Galderisi M, Catalano L, Martorelli MC, Olibet M, Pardo M, et al.. Detection of
1328 increased left ventricular filling pressure by pulsed tissue Doppler in cardiac amyloidosis. *J*
1329 *Cardiovasc Med* 2006 ;7 :742-7
1330

1331**47.** Tendler A, Helmke S, Teruya S, Alvarez J, Maurer MS. The myocardial contraction fraction is
1332 superior to ejection fraction in predicting survival in patients with AL cardiac amyloidosis. *Amyloid*
1333 2015; **22**: 61-6.
1334

1335**48.** Phelan D, Collier P, Thavendiranathan P, et al. Relative apical sparing of longitudinal strain
1336 using two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography is both sensitive and specific for the
1337 diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis. *Heart* 2012; **98**: 1442-8.
1338

1339**49.** Schiano-Lomoriello V, Galderisi M, Mele D, Esposito R, Cerciello G, Buonauro A, et al.
1340 Longitudinal strain of left ventricular basal segments and E/e' ratio differentiate primary cardiac
1341 amyloidosis at presentation from hypertensive hypertrophy: an automated function imaging study.
1342 *Echocardiography.* 2016;33:1335-43

1343

1344**50.** Bellavia D, Pellikka PA, Al-Zahrani GB, et al. Independent predictors of survival in primary systemic
 1345 (Al) amyloidosis, including cardiac biomarkers and left ventricular strain imaging: an observational
 1346 cohort study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2010; **23**: 643-52.

1347

1348**51.** Ternacle J, Bodez D, Guellich A, et al. Causes and Consequences of Longitudinal LV Dysfunction
 1349 Assessed by 2D Strain Echocardiography in Cardiac Amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*.
 1350 2016;9:126-38

1351

1352**52.** Quarta CC, Solomon SD, Uraizee I, Kruger J, Longhi S, Ferlito M, et al. Left Ventricular Structure
 1353 and Function in TTR-Related versus AL Cardiac Amyloidosis. *Circulation*. 2014;129:1840-9

1354

1355**53.** Maceira AM, Joshi J, Prasad SK, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in cardiac amyloidosis.
 1356 *Circulation* 2005; **111**: 186-93.

1357

1358**54.** Vogelsberg H, Mahrholdt H, Deluigi CC, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in clinically
 1359 suspected cardiac amyloidosis: noninvasive imaging compared to endomyocardial biopsy. *J Am Coll*
 1360 *Cardiol* 2008; **51**: 1022-30.

1361

1362**55.** Syed IS, Glockner JF, Feng D, et al. Role of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the detection of
 1363 cardiac amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010; **3**: 155-64.

1364

1365**56.** Fontana M, Pica S, Reant P, et al. Prognostic Value of Late Gadolinium Enhancement Cardiovascular
 1366 Magnetic Resonance in Cardiac Amyloidosis. *Circulation* 2015; **132**: 1570-9.

1367

1368**57.** Karamitsos TD, Piechnik SK, Banyersad SM, et al. Noncontrast T1 mapping for the diagnosis of
 1369 cardiac amyloidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013; **6**: 488-97.

1370

1371**58.** Fontana M, Banyersad SM, Treibel TA, et al. Differential Myocyte Responses in Patients with Cardiac
 1372 Transthyretin Amyloidosis and Light-Chain Amyloidosis: A Cardiac MR Imaging Study. *Radiology*
 1373 2015; **277**: 388-97.

1374

1375**59.** Di Bella G, Pizzino F, Minutoli F, et al. The mosaic of the cardiac amyloidosis diagnosis: role of
 1376 imaging in subtypes and stages of the disease. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014; **15**: 1307-15.

1377

1378**60.** Bokhari S, Castano A, Pozniakoff T, Deslisle S, Latif F, Maurer MS. (99m)Tc-pyrophosphate
 1379 scintigraphy for differentiating light-chain cardiac amyloidosis from the transthyretin-related familial
 1380 and senile cardiac amyloidoses. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013; **6**: 195-201.

1381

1382 **61.** Hutt DF, Quigley AM, Page J, et al. Utility and limitations of 3,3-diphosphono-1,2-
1383 propanodicarboxylic acid scintigraphy in systemic amyloidosis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;
1384 **15**: 1289-98.

1385

1386 **62.** Perugini E, Guidalotti PL, Salvi F, et al. Noninvasive etiologic diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis using
1387 99mTc-3,3-diphosphono-1,2-propanodicarboxylic acid scintigraphy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*.
1388 2005;46:1076-84.

1389

1390 **63.** Rapezzi C, Quarta CC, Guidalotti PL, Pettinato C, Fanti S, Leone O, et al. Role of (99m)Tc-DPD
1391 scintigraphy in diagnosis and prognosis of hereditary transthyretin-related cardiac amyloidosis.
1392 *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;4:659-70.

1393

1394 **64.** Gillmore JD, Maurer MS, Falk RH, et al. Nonbiopsy diagnosis of cardiac transthyretin amyloidosis.
1395 *Circulation* 2016 ; 133 : 2404-12.

1396

1397 **65.** Cardim N, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T, et al. Role of multimodality cardiac imaging in the management
1398 of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: an expert consensus of the European Association of
1399 Cardiovascular Imaging Endorsed by the Saudi Heart Association. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*
1400 2015; **16**: 280.

1401

1402

1403 **66.** Bosi G, Crepaz R, Gamberini MR, Fortini M, Scarcia S, Bonsante E, Pitscheider W, Vaccari M. Left
1404 ventricular remodelling, and systolic and diastolic function in young adults with beta thalassaemia
1405 major: a Doppler echocardiographic assessment and correlation with haematological data. *Heart*.
1406 2003;89:762-766.

1407

1408 **67.** Leon MB, Borer JS, Bacharach SL, Green MV, Benz EJ Jr, Griffith P, Nienhuis AW.
1409 Detection of early cardiac dysfunction in patients with severe beta-thalassemia and chronic
1410 iron overload. *N Engl J Med*. 1979;301:1143-1148.

1411

1412 **68.** Kremastinos DT, Farmakis D, Aessopos A, Hahalis G, Hamodraka E, Tsiapras D, Keren
1413 A. Beta-thalassemia cardiomyopathy: history, present considerations, and future
1414 perspectives. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2010;3:451-458.

1415

1416 **69.** Cogliandro T, Derchi G, Mancuso L, Mayer MC, Pannone B, Pepe A, Pili M, Bina P,
1417 Cianciulli P, De Sanctis V, Maggio A; Society for the Study of Thalassemia and
1418 Hemoglobinopathies (SoSTE). Guideline recommendations for heart complications in
1419 thalassemia major. *J Cardiovasc Med*. 2008;9:515-525.

- 1420 **70.** Efthimiadis GK, Hassapopoulou HP, Tsikaderis DD, Karvounis HI, Giannakoulas GA,
1421 Parharidis GE, Louridas GE. Survival in thalassaemia major patients. *Circ J.* 2006;70:1037-
1422 1042.
- 1423
- 1424 **71.** Giakoumis A, Berdoukas V, Gotsis E, Aessopos A. Comparison of echocardiographic
1425 (US) volumetry with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in transfusion dependent
1426 thalassemia major. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound.* 2007;5:24
- 1427
- 1428 **72.** Pennell DJ, Udelson JE, Arai AE, Bozkurt B, Cohen AR, Galanello R, et al; American
1429 Heart Association Committee on Heart Failure and Transplantation of the Council on Clinical
1430 Cardiology and Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Imaging. Cardiovascular function
1431 and treatment in β -thalassemia major: a consensus statement from the American Heart
1432 Association. *Circulation.* 2013;128:281-308.
- 1433
- 1434 **73.** Pepe A, Meloni A, Capra M, Cianciulli P, Prossomariti L, Malaventura C, et al.
1435 Deferasirox, deferiprone and desferrioxamine treatment in thalassemia major patients:
1436 cardiac iron and function comparison determined by quantitative magnetic resonance
1437 imaging. *Haematologica* 2011;96:41-47.
- 1438
- 1439 **74.** Meloni A, Positano V, Ruffo GB, Spasiano A, D'Ascola D, Peluso A, et al. Improvement
1440 of heart iron with preserved patterns of iron store by CMR-guided chelation therapy *European*
1441 *Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging* 2015:325-34.
- 1442
- 1443 **75.** Casale M, Meloni A, Filosa A, Cuccia L, Caruso V, Palazzi G, et al. Multiparametric
1444 Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Survey in Children With Thalassemia Major A Multicenter
1445 Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2015; 8:e003230. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.115.003230.
- 1446
- 1447 **76.** Pepe A, Positano V, Capra M, Maggio A, Pinto CL, Spasiano A, et al. Myocardial
1448 scarring by delayed enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in thalassaemia major.
1449 *Heart.* 2009;95:1688-1693.
- 1450
- 1451 **77.** Linhart A, Kampmann C, Zamorano JL, Sunder-Plassmann G, Beck M, Mehta A, et al.
1452 European FOS Investigators Cardiac manifestations of Anderson-Fabry disease: results from
1453 the international Fabry outcome survey.. *Eur Heart J.* 2007; 28:1228-35
- 1454
- 1455 **78.** MacDermot KD, Holmes A, Miners AH. Natural history of Fabry disease in affected
1456 males and obligate carrier females. *J Inherit Metab Dis.* 2001;24 Suppl 2:13-4
- 1457

- 1458 **79.** Gambarin FI, Disabella E, Narula J, Diegoli M, Grasso M, Serio A, et al. When should
1459 cardiologists suspect Anderson-Fabry disease? Am J Cardiol. 2010 ;106:1492-9
1460
- 1461 **80.** West M, Nicholls K, Mehta A, Clarke JT, Steiner R, Beck M, et al. Agalsidase alpha and
1462 kidney dysfunction in Fabry disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20:1132-9.
1463
- 1464 **81.** Perrot A, Osterziel KJ, Beck M, Dietz R, Kampmann C. Fabry disease: focus on cardiac
1465 manifestations and molecular mechanisms. Herz. 2002;27(7):699-702
1466
- 1467 **82.** Nagueh SF. Anderson-Fabry disease and other lysosomal storage disorders.
1468 Circulation 2014; 130: 1081-90
1469
- 1470 **83.** Weidemann F, Linhart A, Monserrat L, Strotmann J. Cardiac challenges in patients
1471 with Fabry disease. Int J Cardiol. 2010 ;14:141:3-10
1472
- 1473 **84.** Hoigné P, Attenhofer Jost CH, Duru F, Oechslin EN, Seifert B, Widmer U, et al. Simple
1474 criteria for differentiation of Fabry disease from amyloid heart disease and other causes of left
1475 ventricular hypertrophy. Int J Cardiol. 2006 ;111:413-22
1476
- 1477 **85.** Feriozzi S, Schwarting A, Sunder-Plassmann G, West M, Cybulla M; International
1478 Fabry Outcome Survey Investigators. Agalsidase alpha slows the decline in renal function in
1479 patients with Fabry disease. Am J Nephrol. 2009;29:353-61
1480
- 1481 **86.** Pieroni M, Chimenti C, Russo A, Russo MA, Maseri A, Frustaci A. Tissue Doppler
1482 imaging in Fabry disease. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2004;19:452-7
1483
- 1484 **87.** Toro R, Perez-Isla L, Doxastaquis G, Barba MA, Gallego AR, Pintos G, et al. Clinical
1485 usefulness of tissue Doppler imaging in predicting preclinical Fabry cardiomyopathy. Int J
1486 Cardiol. 2009;132:38-44
1487
- 1488 **88.** Zamorano J, Serra V, Pérez de Isla L, Feltes G, Calli A, Barbado FJ, et al. Usefulness
1489 of tissue Doppler on early detection of cardiac disease in Fabry patients and potential role of
1490 enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) for avoiding progression of disease.. Eur J Echocardiogr.
1491 2011;12:671-7
1492
- 1493 **89.** De Cobelli F, Esposito A, Belloni E, Pieroni M, Perseghin G, Chimenti C, et al. Delayed-
1494 enhanced cardiac MRI for differentiation of Fabry's disease from symmetric hypertrophic
1495 cardiomyopathy. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2009 ;192:W97-102

1496
1497 **90.** Sado DM, White SK, Piechnik SK, Banypersad SM, Treibel T, Captur G, et al.
1498 Identification and assessment of Anderson-Fabry disease by cardiovascular magnetic
1499 resonance noncontrast myocardial T1 mapping. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;6:392-8.
1500
1501 **91.** Boucek D, Jirikowic J, Taylor M. Natural history of Danon disease. *Genet Med*.
1502 2011;13:563–568
1503
1504 **92.** Maron BJ, Roberts WC, Arad M, Haas TS, Spirito P, Wright GB, et al. Clinical outcome
1505 and phenotypic expression in LAMP2 cardiomyopathy. *JAMA*. 2009;301:1253–1259
1506
1507 **93.** D'souza RS, Levandowski C, Slavov D, Graw SL, Allen LA, Adler E, et al. Danon
1508 disease: clinical features, evaluation, and management. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2014;7:843-9
1509
1510 **94.** Gussenhoven WJ, Busch HF, Kleijer WJ, de Villeneuve VH. Echocardiographic
1511 features in the cardiac type of glycogen storage disease II. *Eur Heart J*. 1983;4:41-3
1512
1513 **95.** Rees A, Elbl F, Minhas K, Solinger R. Echocardiographic evidence of outflow tract
1514 obstruction in Pompe's disease (glycogen storage disease of the heart). *Am J Cardiol*. 1976
1515 ;37:1103-6.
1516
1517 **96.** Barker PC, Pasquali SK, Darty S, Ing RJ, Li JS, Kim RJ, et al. Use of cardiac magnetic
1518 resonance imaging to evaluate cardiac structure, function and fibrosis in children with
1519 infantile Pompe disease on enzyme replacement therapy. *Mol Genet Metab*. 2010;101:332-7
1520
1521 **97.** Nucifora G, Miani D, Piccoli G, Proclemer A. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in
1522 Danon disease. *Cardiology*. 2012;121:27-30
1523
1524 **98.** Wei LG, Gao JQ, Liu XM, Huang JM, Li XZ. A study of glycogen storage disease with
1525 99Tcm-MIBI gated myocardial perfusion imaging. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2013 Dec;182:615-20
1526
1527 **99.** Lo Iudice F, Barbato A, Muscariello R, Di Nardo C, de Stefano F, Sibilio M, et al . Left
1528 Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction in Type I Gaucher Disease: An Echo Doppler Study.
1529 *Echocardiography* 2015;32:890-895
1530
1531 **100.** Braunlin E, Wang R. Cardiac issues in adults with mucopolysaccharidoses; current
1532 knowledge and emerging needs. *Heart* 2016; 102:1257-1262
1533

- 1534 **101.** Przybojewski JZ, Maritz F, Tiedt FA, van der Walt JJ. Pseudoxanthoma elasticum with
1535 cardiac involvement. A case report and review of the literature. S Afr Med J. 1981;59:268-75.
1536
- 1537 **102.** Campens L, Vanakker OM, Trachet B, Segers P, Leroy BP, De Zaeytijd Jet al.
1538 Characterization of cardiovascular involvement in pseudoxanthoma elasticum families.
1539 Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2013;33:2646-52
1540
- 1541 **103.** Nguyen LD, Terbah M, Daudon P, Martin L. Left ventricular systolic and diastolic
1542 function by echocardiogram in pseudoxanthoma elasticum. Am J Cardiol. 2006;97:1535-7
1543
- 1544 **104.** Sabán-Ruiz J, Fabregate Fuente R, Sánchez-Largo Uceda E, Fabregate Fuente M.
1545 Pseudoxanthoma elasticum: case report with arterial stiffness evaluated by a research
1546 cardiovascular profiling system. Eur J Dermatol. 2010;20:785-7
1547
- 1548 **105.** Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Airaksinen J, Vihinen T, Miettinen H, Ylitalo K, et al. Cardiac
1549 sarcoidosis: epidemiology, characteristics, and outcome over 25 years in a nationwide study.
1550 Circulation. 2015;131:624-32
1551
- 1552 **106.** Birnie DH, Sauer WH, Bogun F, Cooper JM, Culver DA, Duvernoy CS et al. HRS expert
1553 consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with
1554 cardiac sarcoidosis. Heart Rhythm 2014; 11:1305-1323
1555
- 1556 **107.** Judson MA, Costabel U, Drent M, Wells A, Maier L, Koth L et al. Organ Assessment
1557 Instrument Investigators TW. The WASOG Sarcoidosis Organ Assessment Instrument: An
1558 update of a previous clinical tool. Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis. 2014;31:19-27.
1559
- 1560 **108.** Chiu CZ, , Nakatani S, Yamagishi M, Miyatake K, Cheng JJ. Echocardiographic
1561 Manifestations in Patients with Cardiac Sarcoidosis, J Med Ultrasound 2002; 10:135-140.
1562
- 1563 **109.** Hourigan L.A., Burstow D.J., Pohlner P., Clarke B.E., Donnelly J.E. Transesophageal
1564 echocardiographic abnormalities in a case of cardiac sarcoidosis. J Am Soc Echocardiogr.
1565 2001;14:399-402
1566
- 1567 **110.** Patel MR, Cawley PJ, Heitner JF, Klem I, Parker MA, Jaroudi WA. Detection of
1568 myocardial damage in patients with sarcoidosis. Circulation. 2009 ;120:1969-77.
1569
- 1570 **111.** Hundley WG, Bluemke DA, Finn JP, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG et al.
1571 ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SCMR 2010 expert consensus document on cardiovascular

magnetic resonance: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:2614-62.

112. J Schulz-Menger, R Wassmuth, H Abdel-Aty, I Siegel, A Franke, R Dietz, M G Friedrich. Patterns of myocardial inflammation and scarring in sarcoidosis as assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance Heart 2006;92:399–400.

113. Seward JB, Casacalang-Verzosa G. Infiltrative cardiovascular diseases: cardiomyopathies that look alike. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:1769-79.

114. Lancefield T, Voskoboinik A, Taylor AJ, Nadel J Late gadolinium enhancement identified with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in sarcoidosis patients is associated with long-term ventricular arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death., Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2015 ;16:634-41.

115. Okumura W, Iwasaki T, Toyama T, Iso T, Arai M, Oriuchi N, Endo K, Yokoyama T, Suzuki T, Kurabayashi M. Usefulness of fasting 18F-FDG PET in identification of cardiac sarcoidosis. J Nucl Med. 2004 ;45:1989-98

116. Keijsers RG, Grutters JC, Thomeer M, Du Bois RM, Van Buul MM, Lavalaye et al. Imaging the inflammatory activity of sarcoidosis: sensitivity and inter observer agreement of (67)Ga imaging and (18)F-FDG PET. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2011;55:66-71

117. Ishimaru S, Tsujino I, Takei T, Tsukamoto E, Sakaue S, Kamigaki M, et al. Focal uptake on 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography images indicates cardiac involvement of sarcoidosis. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:1538–43.

118. Youssef G, Leung E, Mylonas I, Nery P, Williams K, Wisenberg G, et al. The use of 18F-FDG PET in the diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis including the Ontario experience. J Nucl Med. 2012;53:241-8

119. Tang R, Wang JT, Wang L, Le K, Huang Y, Hickey AJ, Emmett L. Impact of Patient Preparation on the Diagnostic Performance of 18F-FDG PET in Cardiac Sarcoidosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clin Nucl Med. 2015 Dec 4.

120. Skali H, Schulman AR, Dorbala S. (18)F-FDG PET/CT for the assessment of myocardial sarcoidosis. Curr Cardiol Rep 2013; 15:352.

- 121.** Blankstein R, Osborne M, Naya M, Waller A, Kim CK, Murthy VL et al. Cardiac positron emission tomography enhances prognostic assessments of patients with suspected cardiac sarcoid. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:329-36
- 122.** Yokoyama R, Miyagawa M, Okayama H, Inoue T, Miki H, Ogimoto A, Higaki J, Mochizuki T. Quantitative analysis of myocardial 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake by PET/CT for detection of cardiac sarcoidosis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;195:180-7
- 123.** Yamagishi H, Shirai N, Takagi M, Yoshiyama M, Akioka K, Takeuchi K, Yoshikawa J. Identification of cardiac sarcoidosis with (13) N-NH(3)/[18F]FDG PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2003;44:1030-6
- 124.** Osborne MT1, Hulten EA, Singh A, Waller AH, Bittencourt MS, Stewart GC, et al. Reduction in ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake on serial cardiac positron emission tomography is associated with improved left ventricular ejection fraction in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2014 ;21:166-74.
- 125.** Ohira H, Birnie DH, Pena E, Bernick J, Mc Ardle B, Leung E, et al. Comparison of (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG PET) and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) in corticosteroid-naïve patients with conduction system disease due to cardiac sarcoidosis. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2016;43:259-69.
- 126.** Leask A. The role of endothelin-1 signaling in the fibrosis observed in systemic sclerosis. *Pharmacol Res* 2011;63:502-503.
- 127.** Tyndall AJ, Bannert B, Vonk M, Airo P, Cozzi F, Carreira PE, et, al. Causes and risk factors for death in systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1809-15.
- 128.** Follansbee WP, Miller TR, Cirtoss EI, Orie JE, Bernstein RL, Kiernan JM, et al. A controlled clinicopathologic study of myocardial fibrosis in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *J Rheumatol* 1990;17:656-62.
- 129.** Meune C, Avouac J, Wahbi K, et al. Cardiac involvement in systemic sclerosis assessed by tissue-doppler echocardiography during routine care: A controlled study of 100 consecutive patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;58(6):1803-1809.
- 130.** Allanore Y, Meune C, Vonk MC, Airo P, Hachulla E, Caramaschi P, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with left ventricular dysfunction in the EULAR Scleroderma Trial and

Research group (EUSTAR) database of patients with systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:218–21.

131. D'Andrea A, Stisi S, Bellissimo S, Vigorito F, Scotto di Uccio F, Tozzi N, et al. Early impairment of myocardial function in systemic sclerosis: non-invasive assessment by Doppler myocardial and strain rate imaging. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2005;6:407–18.

132. Yiu KH, Schouffoer AA, Marsan NA, Ninaber MK, Stolk J, Vlieland TV, et al. Left ventricular dysfunction assessed by speckle-tracking strain analysis in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011;63: 3969–3978.

133. Mueller KA, Mueller II, Eppler D, Zuern CS, Seizer P, Kramer U, et al. Clinical and histopathological features of patients with systemic sclerosis undergoing endomyocardial biopsy. *PLoS One*. 2015 May 12;10(5):e0126707. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0126707. eCollection 2015. PubMed PMID: 25966025; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4428754.

134. Hachulla AL, Launay D, Gaxotte V, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional observational study of 52 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68(12):1878–1884.

135. Ugander M, Oki AJ, Hsu LY, et al. Extracellular volume imaging by magnetic resonance imaging provides insights into overt and sub-clinical myocardial pathology. *Eur Heart J* 2012;33:1268–1278.

136. Barison A, Gargani L, De Marchi D, Aquaro GD, Guiducci S, Picano E, et al. Early myocardial and skeletal muscle interstitial remodelling in systemic sclerosis: insights from extracellular volume quantification using cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16:74–80.

137. Thuny F, Lovric D, Schnell F, Bergerot C, Ernande L, Cottin V, et al. Quantification of myocardial extracellular volume fraction with cardiac MR imaging for early detection of left ventricle involvement in systemic sclerosis. *Radiology*. 2014;271:373–80.

138. Follansbee WP, Curtiss EI, Medsger Jr TA, et al. Physiologic abnormalities of cardiac function in progressive systemic sclerosis with diffuse scleroderma. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310:142–8.

139. Lancellotti P, Nkomo VT, Badano LP, Bergler-Klein J, Bogaert J, Davin L, et al. Expert consensus for multi-modality imaging evaluation of cardiovascular complications of

radiotherapy in adults: a report from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013;**14**:721-40.

140. Messroghli D, Nordmeyer S, Dietrich T, Dirsch O, Kaschina E, Savvatis K, et al. Assessment of diffuse myocardial fibrosis in rats using small-animal Look-Locker inversion recovery T1 mapping. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011;**4**:636-640.

141. Constine L, Schwartz R, Savage D, King V, Muhs A. Cardiac function, perfusion, and morbidity in irradiated long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1997;**39**:897-906.

142. Marks L, Yu X, Prosnitz R, Zhou SM, Hardenbergh P, Blazing M, et al. The incidence and functional consequences of RT-associated cardiac perfusion defects. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2005;**63**:214-223

143. Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, Ewer MS, Ky B, Scherrer-Crosbie M, et al. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;**15**:1063-1093.

144. Dato I. How to recognize endomyocardial fibrosis? *J Cardiovasc Med*. 2015 ;**16**:547-51.

145. Mocumbi AO. Endomyocardial fibrosis: A form of endemic restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Glob Cardiol Sci Pract*. 2012 ;**2012**:11

146. Bukhman G, Ziegler J, Parry E. Endomyocardial fibrosis: still a mystery after 60 years. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2008;**2**:e97

147. Mocumbi AO, Carrilho C, Sarathchandra P, Ferreira MB, Yacoub M, Burke M. Echocardiography accurately assesses the pathological abnormalities of chronic endomyocardial fibrosis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011 ; **27**:955-64.

148. Mocumbi AO, Ferreira MB, Sidi D, Yacoub MH. A population study of endomyocardial fibrosis in a rural area of Mozambique. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;**359**:43-9.

149. Kharabish A, Haroun D1. Cardiac MRI findings of endomyocardial fibrosis (Loeffler's endocarditis) in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Saudi Heart Assoc*. 2015;**27**:127-31

- 1727
- 1728 **150.** Schneeweis C, Berger A, Kelle S, Fleck E, Gebker R. Endomyocardial fibrosis in
1729 patients with confirmed Churg-Strauss syndrome. *Rheumatology* 2014;53:84.
- 1730
- 1731 **151.** Maia CP, Gali LG, Schmidt A, de Almeida Filho OC, Santos MK, et al. A Challenging
1732 Differential Diagnosis: Distinguishing between Endomyocardial Fibrosis and Apical
1733 Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Echocardiography*. 2016;33:1080-4
- 1734
- 1735 **152.** Cury R, Abbara S, Sandoval LJ, Houser S, Brady T and Palacios IF. Visualization of
1736 Endomyocardial Fibrosis by Delayed-Enhancement Magnetic Resonance. *Imaging*
1737 *Circulation*. 2005;111:9, e115-117.
- 1738
- 1739 **153.** Salemi VMC, Rochitte CE, Shiozaki AA, Andrade JM, Parga JR, de A' Vila LF, et al.
1740 Late gadolinium enhancement magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis and prognosis of
1741 endomyocardial fibrosis patients. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2011;4:304-311.
- 1742
- 1743 **154.** Roper D, Hillier SD, Burstow DJ, Platts D. Non-tropical endomyocardial fibrosis
1744 associated with sarcoidosis. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;15:472.
- 1745
- 1746 **155.** Seguela PE, Iriart X, Acar P, Montaudon M, Roudaut R, Thambo JB. Eosinophilic
1747 cardiac disease : molecular, clinical and imaging aspects. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2015 ; 108 :
1748 258-68
- 1749
- 1750 **156.** Al Ali AM, Straatman LP, Allard MF, Ignaszewski AP. Eosinophilic myocarditis: case
1751 series and review of literature. *Can J Cardiol*. 2006;22:1233-7
- 1752
- 1753 **157.** Ommen SR, Seward JB, Tajik AJ. Clinical and echocardiographic features of
1754 hypereosinophilic syndromes. *Am J Cardiol* 2000 ; 1 : 110-113
- 1755
- 1756 **158.** Simonnet B, Jacquier A, Salaun E, Hubert S, Habib G. Cardiac involvement in
1757 hypereosinophilic syndrome: role of multimodality imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*.
1758 2015;16:228
- 1759
- 1760 **159.** Acquatella H, Schiller NB, Puigbó JJ, Gómez-Mancebo JR, Suarez C, Acquatella G.
1761 Value of two-dimensional echocardiography in endomyocardial disease with and without
1762 eosinophilia. A clinical and pathologic study. *Circulation*. 1983;67:1219-26.
- 1763

1764 **160.** Rotoli B, Catalano L, Galderisi M, Luciano L, Pollio G, Guerriero A, et al. Rapid
1765 reversion of Loeffler's endocarditis by imatinib in early stage clonal hypereosinophilic
1766 syndrome. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2004 ;45:2503-7
1767

1768 **161.** Puvaneswary M, Joshua F, Ratnarajah S. Idiopathic hypereosinophilic syndrome:
1769 magnetic resonance imaging findings in endomyocardial fibrosis. *Australas Radiol*
1770 2001;45:524e527.
1771

1772 **162.** Porto AG, McAlindon E, Hamilton M, Manghat N, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. Diagnosing
1773 cardiac involvement in the hypereosinophilic syndrome by cardiac magnetic resonance.. *Am*
1774 *J Cardiol*. 2013 Jul 1;112(1):135-6
1775

1776 **163.** Pillar N, Halkin A, Aviram G. Hypereosinophilic syndrome with cardiac involvement:
1777 early diagnosis by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Can J Cardiol* 2012;515:e11ee13.
1778

1779 **164.** ten Oever J, Theunissen LJ, Tick LW, Verbunt RJ. Cardiac involvement in
1780 hypereosinophilic syndrome. *Neth J Med*. 2011;69:240
1781

1782 **165.** Haugaa KH, Bergestuen DS, Sahakyan LG, Skulstad H, Aakhus S, Thiis-Evensen E,
1783 Edvardsen T. Evaluation of right ventricular dysfunction by myocardial strain
1784 echocardiography in patients with intestinal carcinoid disease. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*.
1785 2011;24:644-50.
1786

1787 **166.** Modlin IM, Shapiro MD, Kidd M. Carcinoid tumors and fibrosis: an association with
1788 no explanation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004;99:2466-78
1789

1790 **167.** Connolly HM, Pellikka PA. Carcinoid heart disease. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2006;8:96-101
1791

1792 **168.** Pellikka PA, Tajik AJ, Khandheria BK, Seward JB, Callahan JA, Pitot HC, Kvols LK.
1793 Carcinoid heart disease. Clinical and echocardiographic spectrum in 74 patients. *Circulation*.
1794 1993;87:1188-96
1795

1796 **169.** Urheim S, Edvardsen T, Torp H, Angelsen B, Smiseth OA. Myocardial strain by Doppler
1797 echocardiography. Validation of a new method to quantify regional myocardial function.
1798 *Circulation*. 2000;102:1158-64
1799

1800 **170.** Zahid W, Bergestuen D, Haugaa KH, Ueland T, Thiis-Evensen E, Aukrust P, Fosse E,
1801 et al. Myocardial Function by Two-Dimensional Speckle Tracking Echocardiography and

Activin A May Predict Mortality in Patients with Carcinoid Intestinal Disease. *Cardiology* 2015;132:81-90

171. Moerman VM, Dewilde D, Hermans K. Carcinoid heart disease: typical findings on echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance. *Acta Cardiol.* 2012 ;67:245-8.

172. Nebigil CG, Etienne N, Messaddeq N, Maroteaux L. Serotonin is a novel survival factor of cardiomyocytes: Mitochondria as a target of 5-HT_{2B} receptor signaling. *FASEB J.* 2003;17:1373-1375

173. Hutcheson JD, Setola V, Roth BL, Merryman WD. Serotonin receptors and heart valve disease--it was meant to be. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;132:146-157

174. Fielden MR, Hassani M, Uppal H, Day-Lollini P, Button D, Martin RS, Garrido R, Liu X, Kolaja KL. Mechanism of subendocardial cell proliferation in the rat and relevance for understanding drug-induced valvular heart disease in humans. *Exp Toxicol Pathol.* 2010;62:607-613

175. Fowles RE, Cloward TV, Yowell RL. Endocardial fibrosis associated with fenfluramine-phentermine. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;338:1316

176. Szymanski C, Marechaux S, Bruneval P, Andrejak M, de Montpréville VT, Belli E, et al. Sub obstruction of left outflow tract secondary to benfluorex-induced endocardial fibrosis. *IJC Heart Vasculture* 2015;9: 67-69

177. Vaitkus PT, Kussmaul WG. Constrictive pericarditis versus restrictive cardiomyopathy: a reappraisal and update of diagnostic criteria. *Am Heart J* 1991;**122**:1431-41.

178. Shabetai R. Pathophysiology and differential diagnosis of restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Cardiovasc Clin* 1988;**19**:123-32.

179. Kusunose K, Dahiya A, Popović ZB, Motoki H, Alraies MC, Zurick AO, et al. Biventricular mechanics in constrictive pericarditis comparison with restrictive cardiomyopathy and impact of pericardiectomy. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2013;**6**:399-406.

180. Francone M, Dymarkowski S, Kalantzi M, Rademakers FE, Bogaert J. Assessment of ventricular coupling with real-time cine MRI and its value to differentiate constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Eur Radiol* 2006;**16**:944-51.

- 1841
- 1842 **181.** Welch TD, Ling LH, Espinosa RE, Anavekar NS, Wiste HJ, Lahr BD, et al.
- 1843 Echocardiographic diagnosis of constrictive pericarditis. Mayo clinic criteria. *Circ Cardiovasc*
- 1844 *Imaging* 2014 ; 7 526-34
- 1845
- 1846 **182.** Ha JW, Ommen SR, Tajik AJ, Barnes ME, Ammass NM, Gertz MA, et al. Differentiation
- 1847 of constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy using mitral annular velocity by
- 1848 tissue Doppler echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;**94**:316-9.
- 1849
- 1850 **183.** Ha JW, Oh JK, Ommen SR, Ling LH, Tajik AJ. Diagnostic value of mitral annular
- 1851 velocity for constrictive pericarditis in the absence of respiratory variation in mitral inflow
- 1852 velocity. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2002;**15**:1468-71.
- 1853
- 1854 **184.** Sengupta PP, Mohan JC, Mehta V, Arora R, Pandian NG, Khandheria BK. Accuracy
- 1855 and pitfalls of early diastolic motion of the mitral annulus for diagnosing constrictive
- 1856 pericarditis by tissue Doppler imaging. *Am J Cardiol* 2004;**93**:886-90.
- 1857
- 1858 **185.** Rajagopalan N, Garcia MJ, Rodriguez L, Murray RD, Apperson-Hansen C, Stugaard
- 1859 M, et al. Comparison of new Doppler echocardiographic methods to differentiate constrictive
- 1860 pericardial heart disease and restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2001;**87**:86-94.
- 1861
- 1862 **186.** Amaki M, Savino J, Ain DL, Sanz J, Pedrizzetti G, Kulkarni H, et al. Diagnostic
- 1863 concordance of echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance-based tissue tracking for
- 1864 differentiating constrictive pericarditis from restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Circ Cardiovasc*
- 1865 *Imaging* 2014;**7**:819-27.
- 1866
- 1867 **187.** Adler Y, Charron P, Imazio M, Badano L, Baron-Esquivias G, Bogaert J, et al. 2015
- 1868 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of pericardial diseases: The Task Force for
- 1869 the Diagnosis and Management of Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology
- 1870 (ESC)Endorsed by: The European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur*
- 1871 *Heart J* 2015;36:2921-64.
- 1872
- 1873 **188.** Talreja DR, Edwards WD, Danielson GK, Schaff HV, Tajik AJ, Tazelaar HD, Breen JF,
- 1874 Oh JK. Constrictive pericarditis in 26 patients with histologically normal pericardial
- 1875 thickness. *Circulation* 2003;**108**:1852-7.
- 1876
- 1877 **189.** Yared K, Baggish AL, Picard MH, Hoffmann U, Hung J. Multimodality imaging of
- 1878 pericardial diseases. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;**3**:650-60..
- 1879

- 190.** Elliott PM, Anastasakis A, Borger MA, Borggrefe M, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. 2014 ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* 2014 ;35:2733-792
- 191.** Olivotto I, Maron BJ, Appelbaum E, Harrigan CJ, Salton C, Gibson CM, et al. Spectrum and clinical significance of systolic function and myocardial fibrosis assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;106:261-7
- 192.** Biagini E, Spirito P, Rocchi G, Ferlito M, Rosmini S, Lai F, et al. Prognostic implications of the Doppler restrictive filling pattern in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* ;104:1727-31.
- 193.** Melacini P, Basso C, Angelini A, Calore C, Bobbo F, Tokajuk B, et al. Clinicopathological profiles of progressive heart failure in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31:2111-23.
- 194.** Nistri S, Olivotto I, Betocchi S, Losi MA, Valsecchi G, Pinamonti B, et al. Prognostic significance of left atrial size in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (from the Italian Registry for Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy). *Am J Cardiol.* 2006 ;98:960-5.
- 195.** Maron BJ, Spirito P. Implications of left ventricular remodeling in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;81:1339-448
- 196.** Olivotto I, Cecchi F, Poggesi C, Yacoub MH.. Patterns of disease progression in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: an individualized approach to clinical staging. *Circ Heart Fail* 2012;5:535-46
- 197.** Habib G, Charron P, Eicher JC, Giorgi R, Donal E, Laperche T, et al; Working Groups 'Heart Failure and Cardiomyopathies' and 'Echocardiography' of the French Society of Cardiology. Isolated left ventricular non-compaction in adults: clinical and echocardiographic features in 105 patients. Results from a French registry. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2011;13:177-85
- 198.** Rapezzi C, Leone O, Ferlito M, Biagini E, Cocco F, Arpesella G. Isolated ventricular non-compaction with restrictive cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J.* 2006 ;27:1927